WILEY

Fractional *h*-differences with exponential kernels and their monotonicity properties

Iyad Suwan¹ | Shahd Owies¹ | Thabet Abdeljawad^{2,3}

¹Department of Mathematics and statistics, Arab American University, Jenin, Zababdeh, Palestine

²Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Correspondence

Iyad Suwan, Department of Mathematics and statistics, Arab American University, PO Box 240, Jenin, 13 Zababdeh, Palestine. Email: iyad.suwan@aaup.edu

Communicated by: T. E. Simos

Funding information

Prince Sultan University, Grant/Award Number: RG-DES-2017-01-17 In this work, the nabla fractional differences of order $0 < \mu < 1$ with discrete exponential kernels are formulated on the time scale $h\mathbb{Z}$, where $0 < h \leq 1$. Hence, the earlier results obtained in *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2017, (78) (2017) are generalized. The monotonicity properties of the *h*–Caputo-Fabrizio (CF) fractional difference operator are concluded using its relation with the nabla *h*–Riemann-Liouville (RL) fractional difference operator. It is shown that the monotonicity coefficient depends on the step *h*, and this dependency is explicitly derived. As an application, a fractional difference version of the mean value theorem (MVT) on $h\mathbb{Z}$ is proved.

KEYWORDS

nabla h-discrete exponential kernel, nabla h-RL fractional difference, nabla h-CF fractional difference

MSC CLASSIFICATION 39A12: 34A25: 26A33

1 | INTRODUCTION

By the late 19th century, a lot of fruitful results have been obtained in the subject of fractional calculus in the continuous setting. Since then, many problems in science and engineering have been modeled by fractional calculus. For example, we refer the reader to Podlubny.¹ Despite the huge developments in the field of continuous fractional calculus in both theory and applications, notably less is known about discrete fractional calculus. In 1974, Diaz and Osler published their paper introducing a discrete fractional difference operator defined as an infinite series.² In 1988, Gray and Zhang developed Leibniz' formula, a limited composition rule and a version of a power rule for differentiation.³ Since 1989, discrete fractional calculus has been under focus by many researchers. The forward or delta difference has been employed in previous studies⁴⁻¹²; other work has been developed for the fractional backward or nabla difference.¹³⁻¹⁷ The relation between the forward and the backward fractional operators has been developed in Atici and Eloe,¹⁶ and the fractional derivatives on time scales have been defined.^{18,19} Up to now, the researchers have paid little attention to function domains or to lower limits of summation and differentiation, which may lead to wrong claims; however, many applications of discrete fractional calculus in different directions of science are successfully discussed in many papers in the last decade, for example, in previous studies.²⁰⁻²⁸

Not long ago, a new fractional derivative based on nonsingular kernels is introduced.²⁹ It appears that Caputo-Fabrizio (CF) derivative is very profitable in describing real-word problems, which behaves exponentially. Atangana and Baleanu³⁰ solved the fractional heat transfer model using new fractional derivatives with exponential kernels. In Caputo and Fabrizio,³¹ many applications about the new notations of fractional derivatives with exponential kernels were presented, and the coherence with the thermodynamic laws were proved.

SUWAN ET AL.

Recently, studying the monotonicity for fractional difference operators with nonsingular discrete kernels is under consideration.³²⁻⁴⁵ The monotonicity with discrete exponential kernels were studied in Abdeljawad and Baleanu⁴⁶ when the time step h = 1. Suwan et al³⁵ studied the monotonicity results for *h*-discrete fractional operators, and they formulated a new version of the mean value theorem (MVT) as an application for $0 < h \leq 1$.

In this work, CF fractional differences and their correspondent fractional sums are studied with exponential kernels, and their monotonicity results are obtained for small enough time step $0 < h \le 1$, and then the results are prettified by formulating a new version of the MVT as an application. As a general conclusion, the time scale $h\mathbb{Z}$ provides an accurate description of the discrete phenomena.

In Section 2 of this article, the needed definitions and preliminaries are presented. In Section 3, we investigate the monotonicity results in Suwan et al³⁵ for fractional differences with discrete exponential kernel, and hence, we generalize the results in Abdeljawad and Baleanu.⁴⁶ In the fourth section, we formulate a new version of the MVT as an application. Finally, the conclusions are provided in Section 5.

2 | DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

For $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with p < q, $\frac{q-p}{h} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < h \le 1$, let $\mathbb{N}_{p,h} = \{p, p+h, p+2h, ...\}$ and $_{q,h}\mathbb{N} = \{q, q-h, q-2h, ...\}$, the *h*-nabla discrete exponential kernel is expressed as $_{h}\hat{e}_{\lambda}(t, \rho_{h}(s)) = \left(\frac{1}{1-h\lambda}\right)^{\frac{t-\rho_{h}(s)}{h}} = \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-(s-h)}{h}}$, where $\lambda = \frac{-\mu}{1-\mu}$.³⁷ In the following, we propose the *h*-discrete version of the difference operators:

Definition 2.1. (Suwan et al³⁵) The backward difference and the forward difference operators on $h\mathbb{Z}$ are defined by

$$abla_h \phi(t) = rac{\phi(t) - \phi(t-h)}{h}$$

and

2

WILEV

$$\Delta_h \phi(t) = \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h}$$

respectively.

Definition 2.2. (Suwan et al³⁵) The backward jump and the forward jump operators on the time scale $h\mathbb{Z}$ are defined by $\rho_h(t) = t - h$ and $\sigma_h(t) = t + h$, respectively.

Definition 2.3. Let ϕ be real-valued function defined on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h} = \{p, p + h, p + 2h, ...\}$ in the left case or on $_{q,h}\mathbb{N} = \{q, q - h, q - 2h, ...\}$ in right case. Let $L(\mu, h) = B(\mu) \left(\frac{\mu}{h} + 1 - \mu\right)$, where $B(\mu)$ is a normalizing positive constant satisfying B(0) = B(1) = 1, then for $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and $0 < h \le 1$, we define

The left *h*-nabla CF fractional difference by

$$\binom{CFC}{p} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi(t) = L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h(\nabla_h \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}, \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p+h,h}$$

The right *h*-nabla CF fractional difference by

$$\binom{CFC}{h} \nabla^{\mu}_{q} \phi(t) = L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h(-\Delta_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}}, \ t \in_{q-h,h} \mathbb{N}.$$

The left h-nabla RL fractional difference by

$$\binom{CFR}{p}\nabla_{h}^{\mu}\phi(t) = L(\mu,h)\nabla_{h}\sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h}h\phi(mh)\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}, \ t\in\mathbb{N}_{p+h,h}.$$

The right *h*-nabla RL fractional difference by

$$\binom{CFR}{h}\nabla_q^{\mu}\phi(t) = L(\mu,h)\left(-\Delta_h \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h\phi(mh)\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}}\right), \ t \in_{q-h,h} \mathbb{N}.$$

Notice that

$$L(0,h) = B(0)\left(\frac{0}{h} + 1 - 0\right) = 1, \ L(1,h) = B(1)\left(\frac{1}{h} + 1 - 1\right) = \frac{1}{h}.$$

Remark 2.1. After noting that L(0,h) = 1 and $L(1,h) = \frac{1}{h}$, in the limiting case $\mu \to 0$ and $\mu \to 1$, we remark the following:

• $\left({}_{p}^{CFC} \nabla^{\mu}_{h} \phi \right)(t) \rightarrow \phi(t) - \phi(p) \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow 0,$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\mu \to 0} (p^{CFC} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi)(t) &= \lim_{\mu \to 0} L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h(\nabla_h \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(0, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h(\nabla_h \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-0}{1-0+0}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\left(\frac{\phi(mh) - \phi(mh-h)}{h}\right) \\ &= \phi(t) - \phi(t-h) + \phi(t-h) - \phi(t-2h) + \dots + \phi(p+h) - \phi(p) \\ &= \phi(t) - \phi(p). \end{split}$$

• $\left({}_{p}^{CFC} \nabla^{\mu}_{h} \phi \right)(t) \to \nabla_{h} \phi(t) \text{ as } \mu \to 1,$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\mu \to 1} ({}_{p}^{CFC} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \phi)(t) &= \lim_{\mu \to 1} L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h(\nabla_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(1, h) \lim_{\mu \to 1} \left(h(\nabla_{h} \phi(t)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-t}{h}} + \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h-1} h(\nabla_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \lim_{\mu \to 1} (h\nabla_{h} \phi(t)) + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h-1} h(\nabla_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-1}{1-1+h}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= \nabla_{h} \phi(t). \end{split}$$

•
$$\binom{CFC}{h} \nabla^{\mu}_{q} \phi(t) \to \phi(t) - \phi(q) \text{ as } \mu \to 0,$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\mu \to 0} \binom{CFC}{h} \nabla_{q}^{\mu} \phi)(t) &= \lim_{\mu \to 0} L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h(-\Delta_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \\ &= L(0, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h(-\Delta_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-0}{1-0+0}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \\ &= \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h\left(\frac{\phi(mh) - \phi(mh+h)}{h}\right) \\ &= \phi(t) - \phi(t+h) + \phi(t+h) - \phi(t+2h) + \dots + \phi(q-h) - \phi(q) \\ &= \phi(t) - \phi(q). \end{split}$$

•
$$\binom{CFC}{h} \nabla^{\mu}_{q} \phi(t) \to -\Delta_{h} \phi(t) \text{ as } \mu \to 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof.} \\ \lim_{\mu \to 1} (\binom{CFC}{h} \nabla_q^{\mu} \phi)(t) &= \lim_{\mu \to 1} L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h(-\Delta_h \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \\ &= L(1, h) \lim_{\mu \to 1} \left(h(-\Delta_h \phi(t)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-t}{h}} + \sum_{m=t/h+1}^{q/h-1} h(-\Delta_h \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \lim_{\mu \to 1} (-h\Delta_h \phi(t)) + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{m=t/h+1}^{q/h-1} h(-\Delta_h \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-1}{1-1+h}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \\ &= -\Delta_h \phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

3

WILEY

-WILEY

4

and

Similarly, we can prove the following:

- $\begin{pmatrix} CFR \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi \end{pmatrix}(t) \to \phi(t) \text{ as } \mu \to 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} CFR \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi \end{pmatrix}(t) \to \nabla_h \phi(t) \text{ as } \mu \to 1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} CFR \nabla_q^{\mu} \phi \end{pmatrix}(t) \to \phi(t) \text{ as } \mu \to 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} CFR \nabla_q^{\mu} \phi \end{pmatrix}(t) \to \phi(t) \text{ as } \mu \to 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} CFR \nabla_q^{\mu} \phi \end{pmatrix}(t) \to -\Delta \phi(t) \text{ as } \mu \to 1. \end{cases}$

Definition 2.4. Let u(t) be a function such that $u(t) = {\binom{CFR}{p}} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi(t), 0 < \mu < 1$ and $0 < h \le 1$. The correspondent left discrete fractional integral of ${\binom{CFR}{p}} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi(t)$ is defined by

$$\left({}_{p}^{CF}\nabla_{h}^{-\mu}u\right)(t) = \frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)}u(t) + \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)}\sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h}hu(mh), \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p+h,h}.$$

Also, we define the right fractional integral by

$$\left({}_{h}^{CF} \nabla_{q}^{-\mu} u\right)(t) = \frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)} u(t) + \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)} \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} hu(mh), \ t \in_{q-h,h} \mathbb{N}.$$

11 .

Note that from the definition we have,

$$\begin{pmatrix} CF \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} CFR \\ p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} CF \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} CFR \\ p \end{pmatrix} (t) = \phi(t),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} CF \nabla_{q}^{-\mu} CFR \\ h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} CF \nabla_{q}^{-\mu} CFR \\ p \end{pmatrix} (t) = \phi(t).$$

Proposition 2.1. (The relation between Riemann and Caputo type h-fractional differences with exponential kernels)

For $0 < \mu < 1$ and $0 < h \le 1$ we have,

For
$$0 < \mu < 1$$
 and $0 < h \le 1$ we have,
1. $\left({}_{p}^{CFC} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \phi \right)(t) = \left({}_{p}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \phi \right)(t) - \frac{L(\mu, h)(1 - \mu + h\mu)}{1 - \mu} \phi(p) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t - p}{h}}$.
2. $\left({}_{h}^{CFC} \nabla_{q}^{\mu} \phi \right)(t) = \left({}_{h}^{CFR} \nabla_{q}^{\mu} \phi \right)(t) - \frac{L(\mu, h)(1 - \mu + h\mu)}{1 - \mu} \phi(q) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu} \right)^{\frac{q - t}{h}}$.

In the following, we provide an alternative proof to the one appeared in Abdeljawad,³⁷ where the authors used the discrete Laplace transformations.

Proof. In this proof, we start with the definition of the left and right Caputo *h*-fractional differences with exponential kernels, and we conclude its relation with the left and right RL h-fractional differences with exponential kernels, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \left(\int_{p}^{CFC} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \phi \right)(t) &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h(\nabla_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\left(\frac{\phi(mh) - \phi(mh-h)}{h} \right) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh-h}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h-1} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh-h}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h-1} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-h-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \phi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-p-h}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \phi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-p-h}{h}} \end{aligned}$$

WILEY

5

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad ({}_{h}^{CFC} \nabla_{q}^{\mu} \phi)(t) &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h(-\Delta_{h} \phi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} \phi(mh+h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h+1}^{q/h} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \sum_{m=t/h+1}^{q/h-1} \phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} \\ &- L(\mu, h) \phi(q) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{q-t-h}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h)(-\Delta_{h}) \sum_{m=t/h}^{q/h-1} h\phi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{mh-t}{h}} - L(\mu, h) \phi(q) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{q-t-h}{h}} \\ &= \left({}_{h}^{CFR} \nabla_{q}^{\mu} \phi \right)(t) - \frac{L(\mu, h)(1-\mu+h\mu)}{1-\mu} \phi(q) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{q-t}{h}}. \end{aligned}$$

Numerical calculations have been done in order to verify the first equation in Proposition 2.1. The data used are p = 2.5, h = 0.5, $\mu = 0.3$, $L(\mu, h) = \frac{\mu}{h} + 1 - \mu$, and $\phi(t) = t^2$. The results are illustrated in Figure 1 and Table 1. In addition, the data are presented in Table 1.

Lemma 2.2.

1.
$${}^{CF}_{p} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{t/h} = \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{p/h+1}.$$

2.
$$\nabla_{h,s} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-s)/h} = \frac{\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-s)/h}.$$

3.
$$\binom{CF}{p} \nabla_h^{-\mu} \nabla_h \phi (t) = \left(\nabla_h \ p \nabla_h^{-\mu} \phi \right) (t) - \frac{\mu}{L(\mu, h)(1 - \mu + h\mu)} \phi(p).$$



FIGURE 1 The relation between *RL* and *CF h*-fractional differences with exponential kernels [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 1 The relation between *RL* and *CF h*-fractional differences withexponential kernels

t	Caputo	Riemann	Difference
3.0	11.700000	3.575000	8.125000
3.5	13.860294	7.169118	6.691176
4.0	16.289360	10.778979	5.510381
4.5	18.939767	14.401806	4.537961
5.0	21.772455	18.035311	3.737144
5.5	24.755257	21.677609	3.077648
6.0	27.861682	25.327149	2.534534
6.5	31.069915	28.982652	2.087263
7.0	34.361989	32.643066	1.718922
7.5	37.723108	36.307525	1.415583
8.0	41.141089	39.975315	1.165774
8.5	44.605897	43.645848	0.960050
9.0	48.109268	47.318639	0.790629
9.5	51.644397	50.993291	0.651106
10.0	55.205680	54.669475	0.536205

.

4.
$$\nabla_h \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{t/h} = \frac{-\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{t/h}$$

5.
$$\sum_{p}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} = L(\mu,h) \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}(t-p) \right) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h-1}.$$

$$\binom{CFR}{p} \nabla_h^{\mu} 1 (t) = L(\mu, h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-p)/h-1}$$

6.

6

WILEY-

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof.} \\ 1. \ \ _{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} &= \frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} + \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{mh/h} \\ &= \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h+1} \\ &+ \frac{h\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{p/h+1} \left(\frac{1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-p)/h}}{1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h+1} \\ &+ \frac{h\mu}{L(\mu,h)(1-\mu+h\mu)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{p/h+1} \left(\frac{1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-p)/h}}{\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h+1} + \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{p/h+1} \left(1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-p)/h} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h+1} + \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{p/h+1} - \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{L(\mu,h)} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{p/h+1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_{h,s} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-s)/h} = \frac{\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-s)/h} - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-(s-h))/h}}{h}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-s)/h} \frac{1}{h} \left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-s)/h}.$$

$$3. \qquad \left({}_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \nabla_{h} \phi \right)(t) = \frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \nabla_{h} \phi(t) + \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h \nabla_{h} \phi(mh) \\ = \frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \nabla_{h} \phi(t) + \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \left(\sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh) - \sum_{p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh-h) \right) \\ = \frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \nabla_{h} \phi(t) + \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \left(\sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh) - \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \phi(mh) \right) \\ = \frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \nabla_{h} \phi(t) + \frac{h\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \phi(mh) \\ - \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \phi(p) \\ = \nabla_{h} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \phi(p) \right) \\ = \left(\nabla_{h} \frac{c^{F}}{p} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \phi(t) - \frac{\mu}{L(\mu,h) (1-\mu+h\mu)} \phi(p) \right) \qquad \square$$

4.
$$\nabla_h \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} = \frac{\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h-1}}{h} \\ = \frac{-\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h}.$$

$$5. \qquad \sum_{p}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} = L(\mu,h) \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{mh/h} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-mh)/h} \\ = L(\mu,h) \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} \\ = L(\mu,h) h \nabla_{h} \left(\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} \left(\frac{t-p}{h} \right) \right) \\ = L(\mu,h) \left(\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h} \left(\frac{t-p}{h} \right) - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h-1} \left(\frac{t-p}{h} - 1 \right) \right) \\ = L(\mu,h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h-1} \left(1 + \frac{t-p}{h} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} - 1 \right) \right) \\ = L(\mu,h) \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} (t-p) \right) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{t/h-1}.$$

6.

-WILEY-

$$\begin{split} & \left(\sum_{p}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} 1 \right) (t) \\ &= L(\mu, h) \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) \frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h-1} h \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-h-mh}{h}} \right) \\ &= L(\mu, h) \left(\sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - \sum_{m=p/h+2}^{t/h} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \right) \\ &= L(\mu, h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{(t-p)/h-1}. \end{split}$$

3 | THE MONOTONICITY RESULTS

In the following, we define the $h\mathbb{Z}$ versions of the monotonic functions that appear in At_ic_i and Uyanik.³⁶

Definition 3.1. Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(p) \ge 0$. Then $\psi(t)$ is called μ -increasing function on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$ if $\psi(t+h) \ge \mu \ \psi(t) \ \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$.

If $\psi(t)$ is increasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$ ($\psi(t+h) \ge \psi(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$), then $\psi(t)$ is μ -increasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. For $\mu = 1$, then the increasing and the μ -increasing are the same.

Definition 3.2. Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(p) \leq 0$. Then $\psi(t)$ is called μ -decreasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$ if $\psi(t+h) \leq \mu \psi(t) \ \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$.

If $\psi(t)$ is decreasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$ ($\psi(t+h) \leq \psi(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$), then $\psi(t)$ is μ -decreasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. For $\mu = 1$, the decreasing and the μ -decreasing are the same.

Theorem 3.1. Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p-h,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left(\begin{array}{c} CFR \\ p-h \end{array} \nabla_h^{\mu} \psi \right)(t) \geq 0$ for $0 < \mu < 1$, and $t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. Then $\psi(t)$ is $\left(\begin{array}{c} h\mu \\ 1-\mu+h\mu \end{array} \right)$ -increasing.

Proof. In our proof, we will show that when *CFR* is nonnegative, then μ in Definition 3.1 will be replaced by $\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}$. First, we recall that

$$\binom{CFR}{p-h}\nabla_h^{\mu}\psi)(t) = L(\mu,h)\nabla_h \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}.$$

Let

$$J(t) = \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}.$$

Then $\nabla_h J(t) \ge 0$. To show that

$$\begin{split} \nabla_h J(t) &= \frac{J(t) - J(t - h)}{h} \\ &= \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t - mh}{h}} - \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h - 1} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t - h - mh}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t) + \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h - 1} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t - mh}{h}} - \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h - 1} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t - h - mh}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t) + \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h - 1} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t - mh}{h}} \left(1 - \frac{1 - \mu + h\mu}{1 - \mu}\right) \end{split}$$

$$=\psi(t)-\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu}\sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1}\psi(mh)\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}\geq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{h}J(t) = \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \ge 0.$$
(1)

When t = p, we have

 $\nabla_h J(p) = \psi(p) \ge 0$, and hence, $\psi(p) \ge 0$.

When t = p + h, we get

$$\begin{split} \nabla_h J(p+h) &= \psi(p+h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{p/h} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{p+h-mh}{h}} \\ &= \psi(p+h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \,\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right) \\ &= \psi(p+h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \,\psi(p) \geq 0; \end{split}$$

hence, $\psi(p+h) \ge \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \psi(p)$. Now, we use induction to show that

$$\psi(t+h) \geq \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \ \psi(t), \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}.$$

Let $\psi(m+h) \ge \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \psi(m) \ge 0$, $\forall m < t$ such that $m, t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$.

We should prove that $\psi(t+h) \ge \left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)\psi(t)$. Now, in (1), replacing *t* by t+h gives

$$\begin{split} \psi(t+h) &- \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t+h-mh}{h}} \ge 0, \\ \psi(t+h) &- \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t+h-p}{h}} + \dots + \psi(t) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)\right) \ge 0 \\ \psi(t+h) &\ge \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t+h-p}{h}} + \dots + \psi(t) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)\right) \\ &\ge \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \psi(t) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right), \\ &= \left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right) \psi(t). \end{split}$$

Hence, $\psi(t+h) \ge \left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)\psi(t).$

By Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, the following CF h-fractional result is concluded. **Corollary 3.2.** Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p-h,h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$\binom{CFC}{p-h} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \psi \)(t) \ \geq \frac{-L(\mu,h) \ (1-\mu+h\mu)}{1-\mu} \psi(p-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-p+n}{h}}, \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$$

for $0 < \mu < 1$, and $0 < h \le 1$, then $\psi(t)$ is $\left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)$ -increasing.

WILEY _____9

¹⁰ WILEY−

$$\left(\sum_{p-h}^{CFC} \nabla_h^{\mu} \psi \right)(t) = \left(\sum_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla_h^{\mu} \psi \right)(t) - \frac{L(\mu, h)(1-\mu+h\mu)}{1-\mu} \psi(p-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{1-\mu+h}{h}}$$

Now,

$$\binom{CFC}{p-h} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \psi (t) \geq \frac{-L(\mu, h) (1-\mu+h\mu)}{1-\mu} \psi(p-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-p+h}{h}};$$

hence,

$$(_{p-h}^{CFC} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \psi)(t) + \frac{L(\mu, h) (1 - \mu + h\mu)}{1 - \mu} \psi(p - h) \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t - p + h}{h}} \ge 0$$

which means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} CFR\\ p-h \nabla^{\mu}_{h} \psi \end{pmatrix} (t) \geq 0;$$

hence, by Theorem 3.1, $\psi(t)$ is $\left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)$ -increasing.

Theorem 3.3. Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p-h,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(p) \ge 0$, and assume $0 < \mu < 1$. If ψ is increasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$, then

$$({}_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla^{\mu}_{h} \psi)(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}.$$

Proof. Since we have

$$({}_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla_h^{\mu} \psi)(t) = L(\mu, h) \nabla_h J(t), \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h},$$

it is enough to show that

$$J(t) = \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}$$

is an increasing function on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. In reference to the proof of Theorem 3.1, when t = p, $\nabla_h J(p) = \psi(p) \ge 0$. Now, we will show that $\nabla_h J(t) \ge 0$.

Since, from assumption, $\psi(t)$ is increasing, then $\psi(t) \ge \psi(t - h) \ge \psi(p) \ge 0$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. From (1), we recall that

$$\nabla_h J(t) = \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{h}J(t) &= \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-2} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right) \\ &= \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \psi(t-h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-2} \psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &+ \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-2} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-2} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \psi(t-h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-2} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &+ \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-2} (\psi(t-h)-\psi(mh)) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \right) \\ &\geq \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \psi(t-h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-2} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t) - \psi(t-h) + \psi(t-h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &\geq \psi(t-h) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h-1} \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}} + \dots + \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}} + \dots + 1\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right) \left(\frac{1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}}{1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)}\right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right) \left(\frac{1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}}{1-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)}\right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}} \right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}\right) \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}} \\ &= \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu$$

Theorem 3.4. Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p-h,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(p) > 0$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$, where $0 < \mu < 1$. Then

$$({}^{CFR}_{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\psi)(t)>0,\ t\in\mathbb{N}_{p,h}.$$

Proof. Since we have

$$\binom{CFR}{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\psi(t) = L(\mu,h)\nabla_{h}J(t), \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h},$$

it is enough to show that

$$J(t) = \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}}$$

is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. In reference to the proof of Theorem 3.1, when t = p, we have $\nabla_h J(p) = \psi(p) > 0$. Now, we will show that $\nabla_h J(t) > 0$.

Since $\psi(t)$ is strictly increasing, it follows that $\psi(t) > \psi(t-h) > \psi(p) > 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$.

In reference to the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have $\nabla_h J(t) \ge \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}}$; hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_h J(t) \geq \psi(t-h) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-p}{h}} \\ > \psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)^{\frac{t-p}{h}} \end{aligned}$$

> 0, which completes the proof.

¹² WILEY-

Theorem 3.5. Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p-h,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\binom{CFR}{p-h} \nabla_h^{\mu} \psi$ $(t) \leq 0$ for $0 < \mu < 1$, and $t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. Then $\psi(t)$ is $\left(\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\right)$ -decreasing.

Proof. Let φ : $\mathbb{N}_{p-h,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(t) = -\psi(t)$; hence,

$$({}^{CFR}_{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\varphi)(t)=({}^{CFR}_{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}(-\psi))(t)=-({}^{CFR}_{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\psi)(t)\geq 0.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to $\varphi(t)$ finishes the proof.

Theorem 3.6. Let ψ : $\mathbb{N}_{p-h,h} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(p) \leq 0$ be decreasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$. Then for $0 < \mu < 1$,

$$({}_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla_h^{\mu} \psi)(t) \le 0, \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.3 to $\varphi(t) = -\psi(t)$.

4 | APPLICATION: MVT

In this section, the *h*-fractional difference version of the MVT will be presented. For this purpose, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *For* $0 < \mu < 1$ *, then*

$$\left({}_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} {}_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \psi \right)(t) = \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu} \psi(p), \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h}.$$

Proof. By definition and Lemma 2.2 we have,

$$\begin{split} \left({}_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} {}_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \psi \right) (t) &= \left({}_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} L(\mu, h) \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}} \right) \\ &= L(\mu, h)_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \nabla_{h} \left(h\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-\mu}{h}} + \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \right) \\ &= L(\mu, h)_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \nabla_{h} \left(h\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t}{h}} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{h}} \right) \\ &+ L(\mu, h)_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \nabla_{h} \left(\sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{p}{h}} \right) \\ &= L(\mu, h) h\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)_{p}^{-p/h} CF \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \nabla_{h} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t}{h}} \\ &+ \sum_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} L(\mu, h) \nabla_{h} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{t/h} h\psi(mh) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t-mh}{h}} \\ &= L(\mu, h) h\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)_{p}^{-p/h} CF \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \frac{-\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t}{h}} + \sum_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \sum_{m=p/h+1}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \psi(t) \\ &= L(\mu, h) h\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)_{p}^{-p/h} CF \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} \frac{-\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)^{\frac{t}{h}} + \psi(t) \\ &= U(\mu, h) h\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)_{p}^{-p/h} U(p) \right)_{p}^{-p/h} U(p) + \psi(t) \\ &= U(\mu, h) h\psi(p) \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)_{p}^{-p/h} U(p) + \frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \right)_{p}^{-p/h-1} + \psi(t) \\ &= \psi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \psi(p). \end{split}$$

Theorem 4.2. (*The h-fractional difference MVT*)

Let ϕ and φ be defined on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap_{q,h} \mathbb{N}$, where q = p + nh for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that φ is strictly increasing, $\varphi(p) > 0$, and $0 < \mu < 1$. Then, there exist $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap_{q,h} \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\binom{CFR}{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\phi)(w_{1})}{\binom{CFR}{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\varphi)(w_{1})} \leq \frac{\phi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\phi(p)}{\phi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\phi(p)} \leq \frac{\binom{CFR}{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\phi)(w_{2})}{\binom{CFR}{p-h}\nabla^{\mu}_{h}\phi)(w_{2})}.$$
(2)

13

Proof. First, we need to show that $\varphi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\varphi(p) > 0$. Since φ is strictly increasing, then by Theorem 3.4,

$$({}_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla^{\mu}_{h} \varphi)(t) > 0 \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap {}_{q,h} \mathbb{N}$$

Applying the fractional sum operator on both sides of the inequality, we get

$$\sum_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu} (\sum_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla_{h}^{\mu} \varphi)(t) >_{p}^{CF} \nabla_{h}^{-\mu}(0) \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap q,h \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\varphi(t) - \frac{h\mu}{1 - \mu + h\mu} \varphi(p) > 0 \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap_{q,h} \mathbb{N}.$$

For t = q, we get

$$\varphi(q)-\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\ \varphi(p)>0.$$

The proof by contradiction will be used now. Assume (2) is not true, then either

$$\frac{\phi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \phi(p)}{\varphi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \varphi(p)} < \frac{\binom{CFR}{p-h} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi(t)}{\binom{CFR}{p-h} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi(t)}, \quad \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap_{q,h} \mathbb{N},$$
(3)

or

$$\frac{\phi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \phi(p)}{\varphi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \varphi(p)} > \frac{\binom{CFR}{p-h} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi(t)}{\binom{CFR}{p-h} \nabla_h^{\mu} \phi(t)}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap q,h \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(4)$$

Since φ is strictly increasing, then by Theorem 3.4,

$$({}_{p-h}^{CFR} \nabla^{\mu}_{h} \varphi)(t) > 0 \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \ \cap {}_{q,h} \mathbb{N}$$

Hence, (3) becomes

$$\frac{\phi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \phi(p)}{\varphi(q) - \frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu} \varphi(p)} \begin{pmatrix} CFR \\ p-h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} CFR \\ p \end{pmatrix}_h^\mu \phi \end{pmatrix}(t) < \begin{pmatrix} CFR \\ p-h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} CFR \\ p-h \end{pmatrix}_h^\mu \phi \end{pmatrix}(t), \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{N}_{p,h} \cap_{q,h} \mathbb{N}.$$

Applying the fractional sum operator at t = q, we see that

$$\frac{\phi(q)-\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\;\phi(p)}{\varphi(q)-\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\;\varphi(p)}\;\left(\varphi(q)-\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\;\varphi(p)\;\right)<\left(\;\phi(q)-\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}\;\phi(p)\right),$$

and hence, $\phi(q) < \phi(q)$, which is a contradiction. Similar way can be used for (4).

Notice that if we let h = 1 in Theorem 4.2, the results in Abdeljawad and Baleanu⁴⁶ are easily obtained.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions of this article are summarized as follows:

- The *h*-nabla Riemann-Liouville and CF fractional differences of order 0 < μ < 1 with discrete exponential kernels on *h*ℤ is formulated.
- 2. The correspondent left discrete fractional integral of the *h*-nabla Riemann-Liuville difference operator is defined.

14

- 3. The relation between *h*-nabla Riemann-Liouville and CF fractional differences is detected.
- If $\binom{CFR}{p-h}\nabla_h^{\mu}\psi(t) > 0$, then $\psi(t)$ is $\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}$ -increasing. 4.
- 5. If $\psi(t)$ is increasing on $\mathbb{N}_{p,h}$ and $\psi(p) > 0$, then $\binom{CFR}{p-h} \nabla_h^{\mu} \psi(t) > 0$.
- 6. A monotonicity results for the *h*-nabla CF fractional difference operator is proved. 7. The monotonicity factor, which is $\frac{h\mu}{1-\mu+h\mu}$, affected by the discretization step *h*.
- 8. The achievements of this paper are generalization of those obtained in Abdeljawad and Baleanu.⁴⁶
- 9. As an application, an *h*-fractional difference MVT with exponential kernel on $h\mathbb{Z}$ has been proved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The third author thanks Prince Sultan University for funding this research through the group: Nonlinear Analysis Methods in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM), group number RG-DES-2017-01-17. This work does not have any conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Iyad Suwan b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8657-1327 Thabet Abdeljawad b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8889-3768

REFERENCES

- 1. Podlubny I. Fractional Differential Equations. San Diego CA: Academic Press; 1999.
- 2. Diaz JB, Osler TJ. Differences of fractional order. Math Comp. 1974;28:185-201.
- 3. Gray H, Zhang N. On a new definition of the fractional difference. Math Comp. 1988;50:513-529.
- 4. Atici FM, Eloe PW. A transform method in discrete fractional calculus. Int J Differ Equ. 2007;2(2):165–176.
- 5. Atici FM, Eloe PW. Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus. Proc Amer Math Soc. 2009;137:981–989.
- 6. Miller KS, Ross B. Fractional difference calculus. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and their Applications, Nihon University, Koriyama. Ellis Horwood Ser, Math. Appl.; 1989; Horwood, Chichester:139-152.
- 7. Atici FM, Sengul S. Modeling with fractional difference equations. J Math Anal Appl. 2010;369:1-9.
- 8. Bastos NRO, Ferreira RAC, Torres DFM. Discrete-time fractional variational problems. Signal Process. 2011;3(91):513-524.
- Bastos NRO, Ferreira RAC, Torres DFM. Necessary optimality conditions, for fractional difference problems of the calculus of variations. Discrete Contin Dyn Syst. 2011;2(29):417-437.
- 10. Goodrich C. Existence of a positive solution to a class of fractional differential equations. Appl Math Lett. 2010;23:1050–1055.
- 11. Goodrich C. Solutions to a discrete right-focal fractional boundary value problem. Int J Differ Equ. 2010;5:195-216.
- 12. Holm M. Solution to a discrete nonlinear (N-1,1) fractional boundary value problem. Int J Differ Equ. 2011;3:267–287.
- 13. Abdeljawad T, Baleano D. Fractional differences and integration by parts. J Comput Anal Appli. 2011;13(3):574–582.
- 14. Anastassiou G. Nabla discrete fractional calculus and nabla inequalities. Math Comput Modeling. 2010;51:562–571.
- 15. Anastassiou G. Nabla time scales inequalities. Int J Dyn Syst Differ Equ. 2011;3:59–83.
- 16. Atici FM, Eloe PW. Discrete fractional calculus with the Nabla operator, electron. J Qual Theory Differ Equ. 2009;3:1–12.
- 17. Atici FM, Eloe PW. Linear systems of fractional nabla difference equations. Rocky Mountain J Math. 2011;41(2):353-370.
- 18. Bastos NRO, Mozyrska D, Torres DFM. Fractional derivatives and integrals on time scales via the inverse generalized Laplace transform. Int J Math Comput. 2011;11(j11):1-9.
- 19. Ferreira RAC, Torres DFM. Fractional h-difference equations arising from the calculus of variations. Appl Anal Discrete Math. 2011;1(5):110-121.
- 20. Ostalczyk1 P. Discrete Fractional Calculus, Applications in control and Image Processing, series in computer vision -vol 4. Dartmouth, USA: University of Massachusetts; 2016.
- 21. Holm M. The theory of discrete fractional calculus: development and application, digitalcommons@university of Nebraska-Lincoln University of Nebraska-Lincoln; 2011.
- 22. Ganji M, Gharari F. An application of discrete fractional calculus in statistics. Revista Investig Oper. 2017;38(3):272–280.
- 23. Sun H, Zhang Y, Baleanu D, Chen W, Chen Y. A new collection of real world applications of fractional calculus in science and engineering, Elsevier. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat. 2018;64:213-231.
- 24. Alkahtani B, Atangana A. Generalized groundwater plume with degradation and rate-limited sorption model with Mittag-Leffler law. Results Phys Elsevier. 2017;7:4398-4404.
- 25. Baleanu D, Jajarmi A, Bonyah E, Hajipour M. New aspects of poor nutrition in the life cycle within the fractional calculus. Adv Differ Equ. 2018;2018:230.
- 26. Djida J, Atangana A. More generalized groundwater model with space-time Caputo Fabrizio fractional differentiation. Numer Methods Partial Differ Equ. 2017;33(5):1616-1627.

- 27. Atangana A, Baleanu D. Caputo-Fabrizio derivative applied to groundwater flow within confined aquifer. *J Eng Mech Amer Soc Civil Eng.* 2017;143(5):D4016005.
- 28. Abdeljawad T. On Riemann and Caputo fractional differences. Comput Math Appl. 2011;62(3):1602–1611.
- 29. Caputo M, Fabrizio M. A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel. Progr fract Differ Appl. 2015;1(2):73-85.
- 30. Atangana A, Baleanu A. New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model. *J Thermal Sci.* 2016;20(2):1–7.
- 31. Caputo M, Fabrizio M. Applications of new time and spatial fractional derivatives with exponential kernels. *Progr Fract Differ Appl.* 2016;2(1):1–11.
- 32. Abdeljawad T, Baleanu D. Integration by parts and its applications of a new nonlocal fractional derivative with Mittag-Leffler nonsingular kernel. *J Nonlinear Sci Appl.* 2017;10(3):1098–1107.
- 33. Saad K, Atangana A, Baleanu D. New fractional derivatives with non-singular kernel applied to the Burgers equation. *Chaos.* 2018;28(6). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5026284
- 34. Abdeljawad T, Baleanu D. Discrete fractional differences with non-singular discrete Mittag-Leffler kernels. Adv Differ Equ. 2016;2016:232.
- 35. Suwan I, Owies S, Abdeljawad T. Monotonicity results for *h*-discrete fractional operators and application. *Adv Differ Equ.* 2018;2018:207.
- 36. Atıcı F, Uyanik M. Analysis of discrete fractional operators. Appl Anal Discrete Math. 2015;9(1):139-149.
- 37. Abdeljawad T. Different type kernel *h*-fractional differences and their fractional *H*-sums. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*. 2018;2018(116):146–156.
- 38. Suwan I, Abdeljawad T, Jarad F. Monotonicity analysis for nabla h-discrete fractional Atangana-Baleanu differences. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*. 2018;2018(117):50–59.
- 39. Al-Mdallal Q, Hajji M. A convergent algorithm for solving higher-order nonlinear fractional boundary value problems. *Fract Calcul Appl Anal.* 2015;18(6):1423–1440.
- 40. Agarwal P, Al-Mdallal Q, Je Cho Y, Jain S. Fractional differential equations for the generalized Mittag-Leffler function. *Adv Differ Equ.* 2018;2018:58.
- 41. Al-Mdallal Q, Abro K, Khan I. Analytical solutions of fractional Walter's B fluid with applications. *Hindawi Complexity*. 2018;2018:8131329,10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8131329
- 42. Khan H, Gmez-Aguilar J, Khan A, Khan T. Stability analysis for fractional order advection-reaction diffusion system. *Phys A Stat Mech Appl.* 2019;521:737–751.
- 43. Khan H, Tun C, Baleanu D, Khan A, Alkhazzan A. Inequalities for n-class of functions using the Saigo fractional integral operator. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fsicas y Naturales. Serie A Matematicas.* Madrid: The Royal Academy of Sciences; 2019:1–14.
- 44. Alkhazzan A, Jiang P, Baleanu D, Khan H, Khan A. Stability and existence results for a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations with singularity. *Math Methods Appl Sci.* 2018;41(18):9321–9334.
- 45. Khan H, Chen W, Sun H. Analysis of positive solution and HyersUlam stability for a class of singular fractional differential equations with pLaplacian in Banach space. *Math Methods Appl Sci.* 2018;41(9):3430–3440.
- 46. Abdeljawad T, Baleanu D. Monotonicity results for fractional difference operators with discrete exponential kernels. *Adv Differ Equ.* 2017;2017:78.

How to cite this article: Suwan I, Owies S, Abdeljawad T. Fractional *h*–differences with exponential kernels and their monotonicity properties. *Math Meth Appl Sci.* 2020;1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6213