26950



Curcumin-Based Heterocycles: Synthesis, Antimicrobial Genotoxicity and Molecular Docking

ROLA AL-KERM¹, HISHAM QRAREYA², OTHMAN HAMED^{1,*(0)}, GHALEB ADWAN³, RANA AL-KERM¹, OMAR DAGDAG^{4,(0)} and ASHRAF SAWAFTA³

4 ¹Department of Chemistry, College of Science, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

5 ²Department of Chemistry, Arab American University, Jinin, Palestine

⁶ ³Department of Biology, College of Science, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

⁷ ⁴Centre for Materials Science, College of Science, Engineering and Technology, University of South Africa, Johannesburg 1710, South Africa

8 *Corresponding author: E-mail: ohamed@najah.edu

1

2 3

| (Received: | ; | Accepted: |) | AJC-0000 |
|------------|---|-----------|---|----------|
| | | | | |

9 Curcumin is a natural compound with numerous biological activities and a precursor for many drugs. Development of a convenient one 10 pot synthetic method for synthesizing curcumin-based diazepines and diazoles having antibacterial activities is focussed in this study. A 11 one pot condensation process was developed for synthesizing a novel class of curcumin-based diazoles and diazepines by reacting 12 curcumin with 2-diamino compounds and hydrazines in presence of sulfuric acid as catalyst. IR and ¹H NMR were used to characterize 13 the molecular composition of the synthesized curcumin derivatives. The synthesized derivatives were tested for their in vitro antibacterial 14 efficacy against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The MIC concentrations ranged from 1.56 to 200 µg/mL. Ampicillin exhibited 15 synergistic effects with compounds C1, C3, C4 and C8. In the genotoxicity test, compound C3 was found to have no effect on the DNA 16 molecules of E. coli strains, suggesting that it is not mutagenic and/or genotoxic. Compound C2 had the strongest interaction with the 17 investigated protein receptor sites when blind molecular docking was conducted on all compounds. Since both H-donating and H-accepting 18 sites of this molecule interact efficiently during the docking. In addition, absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) 19 study showed that compound C2 do not contradict the Lipinski's rule of drug likeness and showed a low level of passive human 20 gastrointestinal absorption. The results indicated that C2 could be most promising among the studied compounds.

21 Keywords: Ampicillin, Antimicrobial, Benzodiazepine, Curcumin, Diazole, Genotoxicity, Molecular docking.

INTRODUCTION

22 Antibiotics misuse and abuse in people, crops and animals 23 have resulted in widespread bacterial resistance [1]; an essential 24 public health issue antibiotics have been made less effective 25 by drug used against certain bacteria such as methicillin-resistant 26 Staphylococcus aureus [2]. Antibiotic-resistant strains arise 27 far more quickly than new antibacterial reagents can be devel-28 oped, many of the drugs commonly used in the past is no longer 29 active this has led to a variety of diseases due to cytotoxicity 30 of newly generated drugs, inefficient mode of action and incre-31 ased death rates [3,4]. Lately, there has been much interest in 32 finding effective, environmental friendly and safe antibacterial 33 agents to reduce the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria due 34 to its physiological advantages on cellular biochemical proce-35 sses, structural originality, molecular diversity and low bacterial resistance. Natural products such as for example, curcumin36and curcumin derivatives have shown significantly an *in vitro*37antibacterial efficacy against many types of Gram-positive and38Gram-negative bacteria [5-8].39

Curcumin is a natural biphenolic yellow-orange pigment 40 isolated from the rhizome of Curcuma longa. Compared with 41 synthetic antioxidants, curcumin has a simple chemical struc-42 ture with various pharmacological activities and low toxicity 43 [9-15]. It has beneficial therapeutic properties as an anticancer, 44 antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-HIV, anti-45 amyloid, antimicrobial and anti-arthritic. Curcumin has been 46 used to help treat Alzheimer's disease and cystic fibrosis [14-16]. 47 Chemically, curcumin (1,7-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methoxy phenyl)-48 1,6-heptadiene-3,5-dione) is a symmetrical molecule consisting 49 of seven-carbon atom chain with an α , β -unsaturated β -diketone 50 moiety connected to two phenyl rings each with an o-methoxy 51

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License. This license lets others distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon your work, even commercially, as long as they credit the author for the original creation. You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

52 group (Fig. 1). It has been suggested that the hydrogens of an 53 active methylene group and phenolic groups are essential for 54 antioxidant activity [17-21]. Curcumin exists in both the keto 55 as well as the enol tautomeric forms in equilibrium. It has been 56 demonstrated that the ketonic form is predominant in the solid 57 phase and in the neutral and acidic conditions. However, the 58 enolic form is predominant under basic conditions. The nutri-59 tive value of curcumin has not yet been reported, even large

60 dose consumption did not show any toxic side effect. It is

61 pharmacologically safe at a dose of 8-12 g/day [21-24].



Fig. 1. Receptors bonding sites in curcumin bases heterocycles

62 Curcumin is potential based reagent for many novel 63 products with unlimited number of bioactivities [25-29]. In 64 view of the above cited facts, it was decided to use curcumin 65 as precursor for the synthesis of various diazepines and diazoles by reacting curcumin with various amino compounds. Diazepine 66 and diazoles were selected due to the various biological activities 67 68 they offer including anti-inflammatory [30], antioxidant [31], 69 antimicrobial [28] and anticancer [32]. The presence of a 70 heterocyclic moiety adds several advantages to curcumin like 71 increase curcumin capability of binding to cell active receptors 72 though H-bonding and enhances its miscibility in hydrophilic 73 solvents. The antimicrobial efficacy of the synthesized deriva-74 tives was evaluated against four distinct bacterial strains.

EXPERIMENTAL

75 Chemicals used in this study were purchased from Aldrich 76 Chemical Company (Jerusalem) and used without any further 77 purification. All the synthesized curcumin based hetrocylces 78 were characterized by FT-IR, NMR and MS/MS techniques. 79 The NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Gemini 2000, 300 80 MHz instruments and the solvent used in the analysis was 81 DMSO- d_6 . The ¹H NMR experiments were reported in parts 82 per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethyl silane (TMS). 83 ¹³C NMR of all compounds were reported in ppm relative to 84 DMSO-d₆ (39.52 ppm). The FT-IR spectra were recorded on 85 a Shimadzu 820 PC FT-IR spectrometer (Kyoto. Japan), while 86 the MS/MS analysis was carried out using the Thermo-Fisher 87 Scientific LCQ Fleet ion trap mass spectrometer (USA) operated 88 in a positive electrospray mode. The electrospray voltage was 89 5.0 kV. All scans were acquired with a 250.0 ms of maximum

ionization time. The purifications of the synthesized compounds90were performed by either flash chromatography with silica91gel (100-200) mesh or recrystallization.92

Culture media: The culture media contain the following:93nutrient broth (N.B.), nutrient agar (N.A.), eosin methylene94blue agar (EMB), sterile normal saline (10%), 10% dimethyl95sulfoxide (DMSO) solution and 0.5 McFarland standard 1.596 $\times 10^8$ CFU/mL.97

98

General procedure

Synthesis of curcumin-based diazepines and diazoles: 99 100 The reaction was performed using a round-bottomed flask fitted with a magnetic stirring bar and a condenser. A solution 101 of curcumin (1.36 mmol, 0.50 g) in ethanol (30.0 mL) was added 102 to the desired amino compound (1.36 mmol) followed by 103 addition of 0.1 mL of sulfuric acid. The obtained solution was 104 then refluxed (10 to 20 h) and the reaction progress was moni-105 tored by TLC. The solvent ethanol was removed under vacuum 106 and the collected solid was suspended in a solution of sodium 107 bicarbonate (5.0%), filtered, washed with water (2×50 mL) 108 and dried in an oven at 60 °C. The obtained product was further 109 purified by flash chromatography or crystallization. Glacial 110 acetic acid as solvent and catalyst was used in the synthesis of 111 compounds C3, C4 and C5 [30]. 112

4,4'-((1E,1'E)-(1H-Pyrido[2,3-b][1,4]diazepine-2,4-diyl)- 113 bis(ethene-2,1-diyl))bis(2-methoxyphenol) [C1]: Curcumin 114 (0.50 g, 1.36 mmol), 2,3-diaminopyridine (0.15 g, 1.36 mmol). 115 Crystallization solvent EtOAc/hexane (1:2 by vol.), yield (0.351 g, 116 58.5%), m.p.: 118-120 °C. IR (neat, v_{max}, cm⁻¹): 3344 (OH and 117 NH str.), 3022 (=CH), 2974 (C-H aliph.), 1605 (C=N, imine), 118 1584 (arom. C=C), 1389 (C-N), 108.05 (C-O); ¹H NMR (DMSO-119 d₆) δ ppm: 3.82 (6H, s, methoxy), 4.02 (1H, bs, NH), 5.10 120 (1H, s), 5.36 (2H, bs, O-H), 5.67 (1H, dJ = 15.2 Hz), 6.81-6.97 121 (7H, m); 7.14 (1H, m), 7.27 (2H, m), 7.37 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 122 8.13(1H, d, 1H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 56.2, 88.70, 111.7, 123 113.1, 116.9, 122.8, 124.1, 127.5, 132.5, 135.1, 138.2, 146.7, 124 147.8, 149.2, 149.6, 160.1, 164.7; MS/MS [M+1] for C₂₆H₂₃N₃O₄: 125 theoretical 442.17, found: 442.43. 126

6,8-*bis*((*E*)-**4-Hydroxy-3-methoxystyryl**)-*5H*-pyrazino- **[2,3-***b***][1,4]diazepine-2,3-dicarbonitrile** (**C2**): Curcumin (0.5 g, 128 1.36 mmol), 5,6-diamino-2,3-pyrazindicarbonitrile (0.22 g, 129 1.36 mmol). Crystallization solvent EtOH/water (3:1 by vol.). 130 Yield: 99.5%, 0.68 g, m.p.: 205-207 °C. IR (neat, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 131 3338.5 (NH), 3157.4 (=CH), 2961.5 (CH), 2231.9 (nitrile *str.*), 132 1671.1 (imine), 1628.6 (C=C). ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 133 3.83 (6H, s, methoxy), 4.2 (1H, bs, NH), 5.1 (s, 1H), 5.4 (2H, 134 bs, OH), 5.68 (1H, d, *J* = 15.2 Hz), 6.82 (3H, m), 6.84 (1H, d); 135 6.9 (1H, d); 6.97 (2H, d), 7.22 (2H, 2H, *J* = 7.52 Hz); ¹³C NMR 136 (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 56.3, 112.2, 117.2, 122.7, 127.7, 131.2, 137 135.3, 124.5, 137.8, 148.2, 149.2, 149.6, 154.6, 147.7, 155.3, 138 160.3, 164.4. MS/MS [M+1], for the molecular formula 139 **C_xH_yN_zO_d: theoretical 493.16, found: 493.74.**

5,7-*bis*((*E*)-**4-Hydroxy-3-methoxystyryl)-1***H***-1,4-diaze**- 141 **pine-2,3-dicarbonitrile** (C3): Curcumin (1.6 mmol, 0.5 g), 142 diaminomaleonitrile (1.36 mmol, 0.15 g). Yield (0.126 g, 143 21.1%), m.p.: 250-254 °C. IR (neat, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3417.7 (OH), 144 3366.7 (NH), 2362.4 (nitrile), 1650.6 (imine), 1558.4 (C=C); 145 missing

missing

missing

146 ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 3.81 (6H, s, methoxy), 4.05 (1H, 147 bs, NH), 5.12 (1H, s), 5.41 (2H, bs, OH), 5.73 (1H, d, J = 15.2148 Hz), 6.8 (4H, m), 7.01 (3H, m), 7.25 (2H, J = 7.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR 149 (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 56.2, 1037.4, 105.1, 11.5, 138.1 1147.6, 150 115.4, 116.6, 120.4, 122.8, 124.4, 127.7, 135.2, 149.3, 149.2, 151 164.8. MS/MS [M+1], for the molecular formula C_xH_yN_zO_d: 152 theoretical 441.16, found: 441.80.

153 4,4'-((1E,1'E)-(1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-1H-pyrazole-3,5-154 diyl)bis(ethene-2,1-diyl))bis(2-methoxyphenol) (C4): 155 Curcumin (1.36 mmol, 0.5 g), 2-chlorophenylhydrazin·HCl 156 (1.36 mmol, 0.25 g). Yield: 0.589 g, 79.12%; m.p.: 123-126.3 °C. IR (neat, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 1637.2 (imine), 1098.9 (C-O); ¹H NMR 157 158 $(DMSO-d_6) \delta ppm: 3.85 (6H, s, methoxy), 5.38 (2H, bs, OH),$ 159 6.8 (1H, s), 6.95 (4H, m); 7.16 (2H, d, 2J = 7.7 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d);160 7.35-7.60 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 56.5, 109.3, 161 110.4, 116.5, 116.8, 119.2. 123.3, 123.5, 127.6, 130.4, 133.4, 162 139.7, 143.3, 147.6, 149.5, 154.3. MS/MS [M+1], for the 163 molecular formula C_xH_yN_zO_d: theoretical 475.17, found: 475.52 164 and 477.64 (Cl isotope).

165 4,4'-((1E,1'E)-(1-(pyrimidin-2-yl)-1H-pyrazole-3,5diyl)bis(ethene-2,1-diyl))bis(2-methoxyphenol) (C5): 166 167 Curcumin (1.36 mmol, 0.5 g), 2-hydrazinopyrimidine hydrate 168 (1.36 mmol, 0.15 g). Yield: 0.4 g, 66.66%, m.p.:88-90.2 °C. IR (neat, v_{max}, cm⁻¹): 1639.7 (imine), 1061.2 (C-O) and 1214.82 169 170 (N-N); ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 3.82 (6H, s, methoxy), 5.36 (2H, bs, OH), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.95 (6H, m); 7.13 (2H, d, J = 171 172 7.6 Hz), 7.16 (2H, m, J = 7.7 Hz); 7.66 (1H, m), 8.84 (2H, d, J = 7.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 56.3, 107.4, 109.5, 173 174 116.6, 116.5, 118.4, 122.5, 123.6, 130.4, 131.3, 147.2, 147.4. 175 148.2, 149.2, 155.6, 156.5. MS/MS [M+1], for the molecular 176 formula C_xH_yN_zO_d: theoretical: 444.19, found: 444.65.

177 4,4'-((1E,1'E)-(3-Bromo-5H-pyrazino[2,3-b][1,4]-178 diazepine-6,8-diyl)bis(ethene-2,1-diyl))bis(2-methoxy-179 **phenol**) (C6): Curcumin (0.68 mmol, 0.25 g), 2,3-diamino-180 5-bromopyrizine (0.678 mmol, 0.1276 g,). Yield: 0.31 g, 181 87.85%, m.p.: 88-90 °C. IR (neat, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 1621.35 (imine), 542.16 (C-Br) and 3384.16 (NH); ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 182 183 3.83 (6H, s, methoxy), 3.95 (1H, bs, NH), 5.05 (1H, s), 5.37 184 (2H, bs, OH), 5.69 (1H, d, J = 15.2 Hz), 6.77 (2H, m), 6.87185 (1H, d, J = 15.2 Hz), 6.87 (2H, d), 6.95 (2H, d), 7.18 (2H, d)J = 7.5 Hz), 7.97 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 56.3, 186 103.2, 111.3, 116.5, 121.3, 122.7, 124.3, 135.5, 139.4, 147.2, 187 149.4, 150.5, 159.4, 164.9; MS/MS [M+1], for the molecular 188 189 formula C_xH_yN_zO_d: theoretical: 521.08, found: 521.15 and 190 523.18 (Br isotope).

191 4,4'-((1E,1'E)-(8-Bromo-1H-pyrido[2,3-b][1,4]-diaze-192 pine-2,4-diyl)bis(ethene-2,1-diyl))bis(2-methoxyphenol) 193 (C7): Curcumin (1.36 mmol, 0.5 g), 2,3-diamino-5-bromo-194 pyridine (1.357 mmol, 0.254 g). Yield 0.34 g, 48.14%, m.p.: 195 108-110 °C. IR (neat, v_{max}, cm⁻¹): 1623.2 (imine), 3374.3 (NH), 568.9 (C-Br) and 1030.7 (C-O); ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 196 3.84 (6H, s, methyl), 4.02 (1H, bs, NH), 5.06 (1H, s), 5.34 197 (2H, bs, OH), 5.70 (1H, d, J = 15.1 Hz), 6.82 (4H, m), 6.89 198 199 (1H, d, J = 15.1 Hz), 6.95 (2H, d, J = 7.5 Hz); 7.18 (s, 2H),200 7.65 (1H, s), 8.15 (1H, s);¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 56.3, 103.2, 111.4, 116.2, 121.3, 122.4, 123.1, 124.3, 135.8, 139.4, 201 147.3, 149.2, 150.7, 159.4, 164.9; MSMS [M+1], for the 202

molecular formula $C_x H_y N_z O_d$: theoretical: 520.06, found: 203520.12 and 522.17 (Br isotope).204

ssing

Ē

Issing

Ξ

4,4'-((1*E*,1'*E*)-(1*H*-Benzo[*b*][1,4]diazepine-2,4-diyl)-205 bis(ethene-2,1-diyl))bis(2-methoxyphenol) (I8): Brown solid, 206 yield: 1.17 g, 97.5%. IR (neat, v_{max} , cm⁻¹): 3350 (10H), 3020.5 207 (vinylic H), 1640.2 (imine), 1600.2 (C=C aromatic), 1180.2 208 (C-O), 1220.2 (C-N); ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 3.87 (6H, s, 209 methoxy), 4.02 (1H, bs, NH), 5.08 (1H, s), 5.36 (2H, bs, OH), 210 5.63 (1H, d, J = 17.6), 6.79 (4H, m), 6.85 (2H, d), 6.94 (2H, d, 211 J = 17.6), 7.04 (2H, m), 7.15 (1H, d, J = 7.51), 7.25 (1H, s), 212 7.29 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 28.9, 733.2, 45.5, 213 56.4, 113.6, 121.7, 125.4, 130.1, 133.2, 140.5, 144.7, 147.8, 214 165.6. MS/MS [M+1], for the molecular formula $C_x H_v N_z O_d$: 215 theoretical: 441.17, found: 441.21 216

2,4-bis((E)-3,4-Dimethoxystyryl)-1H-benzo[b][1,4]-217 **diazepine** (C8): Solution of I8 (1.011 mmol, 0.445 g) in ethanol 218 (10.0 mL) was added to NaOH (0.1 g) solution dropwise and 219 stirred at room temperature for about 30 min. Two drops of 220 methyl iodide was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed 221 for 10 h. Yield: 36.73%, 0.174 g, m.p.: 174.2-175 °C. IR (neat, 222 v_{max}, cm⁻¹): 3346.9 (N-H), 2963.4 (C-H), 1640.2 (imine), 1598.4 223 (C=C, arom.); ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ ppm: 3.77 (12H, s, meth-224 oxy), 4.03 (1H, bs, NH), 5.07 (1H, s), 5.68 (1H, d, J = 15.2), 225 6.77 (4H, m), 6.93 (2H, d, J = 7.51), 7.12 (2H, d, J = 7.51), 7.17 226 $(2H, d, J = 7.51), 7.23 (2H, m), 7.33 (1H, d, J = 7.51 Hz); {}^{13}C$ 227 NMR (DMSO-*d*₆) δ ppm: 28.8, 33.9, 45.3, 56.4, 113.7, 121.4, 228 125.3, 130.2, 132.7, 140.2, 144.8, 147.6, 165.5; MS/MS [M+1], 229 230 for the molecular formula $C_x H_y N_z O_d$: theoretical: 469.23, found: 469.48. 231

pH stability: The chemical stability of the synthesized 232 curcumin derivatives was studied at various pH values. Three 233 solutions of each derivative were prepared in methanol and 234 the pH value of the solution was adjusted to 3.5, 6.5 and 9.0. 235 Each solution was kept under these conditions for about 48 h 236 at 37 °C. The solution showed slight changes in colour, which 237 could be due to protonation and deprotonation of Lewis base 238 239 sites of the derivatives. Derivatives isolation and analysis by melting point and IR analysis showed no change in the chemical 240 241 structures of the compounds.

Microorganisms: The *in vitro* antimicrobial efficacy was 242 243 carried out on four types of bacteria strains: Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538P and clinically isolated methicillin resistant 244 Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (Gram-positive). The other two 245 strains were the Gram-negative bacteria Escherichia coli ATCC 246 25922 and Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC 13883. Methicillin 247 resistant S. aureus strain was identified by oxacilllin and cefo-248 xitin disk diffusion method and confirmed by the presence of 249 gene mecA using PCR as described previously [33]. 250

Procedure: The selected bacterial strains were evaluated 251 for their susceptibility to the synthesized curcumin-based 252 derivatives. Solutions each with a concentration of $400 \,\mu$ g/mL 253 were prepared form curcumin-based derivatives in dimethyl 254 sulfoxide (DMSO) and then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. 255

Screening test: Four colonies of each selected bacterium 256 were transferred into sterile tubes each contains 10 mL (0.9 257 wt.%) solution of sterile saline. The turbidity of all bacterial 258 suspensions were then adjusted to an optical density of 0.5 259

260 McFarland standard with a bacterial suspension with about 261 1.5×10^8 CFU/mL [34].

262 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration 263 (MIC): Two-fold serial dilutions in sterile 96-microwell plates 264 were used to evaluate the MIC of ampicillin antibiotic and 265 curcumin-based derivatives [35,37]. Curcumin-based hetero-266 cycles in 10% H₂O-DMSO, ampicillin at 100 µg/mL and the 267 negative control in 10% H₂O-DMSO as well. All solutions 268 were serially diluted two times in 100 µL capacity wells before 269 using a nourishing broth. Each well was inoculated with $1.0 \times$ 270 10⁵ CFU/mL of bacteria. DMSO inoculated with bacteria was 271 used as the positive control, while the negative controls were 272 curcumin-based heterocyclics and nutritious broth devoid of 273 microorganisms. It was necessary to do two independent experi-274 ments on each curcumin-based heterocyclic compound. Micro-275 well plates were covered and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C before 276 testing for contamination.

277 Determination of minimum bactericidal concentration 278 (MBC): A 10.0 µL was obtained from each wells that showed 279 bacterial growth inhibition and transferred using an inoculating 280 loop and subcultured on nutrient agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The lowest concentration (the 281 282 highest dilution) of curcumin-based heterocyclic compounds 283 required to kill a specific bacterial strain was determined and 284 represented as MBC.

285 Synergistic effect: The curcumin-based heterocyclics C1, 286 C2, C3, C8 were selected for this study with ampicillin anti-287 biotic. The synergistic effect was determined by the two-fold 288 dilutions method using sterile 96-microwell plates as instructed 289 by CLSI [37]. A solution of each of the curcumin-based hetero-290 cyclics with a concentration of 400 μ g/mL of 10% DMSO in 291 water were prepared then two-fold serially diluted in nutrient 292 broth in the 96-well plates to reach a final volume of $100 \,\mu$ L. 293 A sub-MIC of ampicillin (0.39 µg/mL) was added to each well, 294 followed by the addition of E. coli (ATCC 25922) inoculum 295 size of 1.0×10^5 CFU/mL. Each run was performed in duplicate. 296 The 96-well plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The 297 fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) for ampicillin and 298 the curcumin-based heterocyclics was determined using eqn. 1:

299
$$\Sigma FIC = FIC_{A} + FIC_{B} = \left(\frac{[A]}{MIC_{A}}\right) + \left(\frac{[B]}{MIC_{B}}\right)$$
(1)

300 where MIC_A and MIC_B are of drugs A and B alone, respectively 301 while [A] and [B] are the concentrations of drugs A and B, 302 respectively. The FIC index value of ≤ 0.5 indicate a synergy, 303 FIC index > 0.5–4.0 indicates a indifference effect and anta-304 gonism occurs when the FIC index value is > 4.0 [36].

305 Genotoxic potential of compound C3 on E. coli ATCC 25922

306 Inoculation of E. coli: Colonies from 24 h old E. coli 307 ATCC 25922 strain growth culture plated on the nutrient agar medium were sub-cultured in a container having 25 mL of 308 309 nutrient broth under sterile conditions and incubated at 37 °C 310 for 1 h with shaking. A 1 mL of 1 h old E. coli culture was added to four sterile bottles each contains 24 mL of nutrient broth 311 312 medium under aseptic conditions. The four bottles were then incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with shaking. Various concentra-313

tions of compound C3 ranging from 0 to 100 μ g/mL in 10% 314 DMSO were added to each bottle containing *E. coli* broth 315 culture. A blank concentration of C3 was selected as a negative 316 control. 317

DNA extraction: A genome of *E. coli* was prepared as 318 described in the literature [37]. A 4 mL of each of the four *E*. 319 coli (ATCC 25922) samples prepared above was withdrawn 320 after 3, 5 h and 24 h and centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 5 min, 321 the supernatant was discarded and the residue was re-suspended 322 in Tris-EDTA (1.0 mL, 10.0 mM Tris-HCl and 1.0 mM EDTA) 323 having pH of 8.0. The suspension was and centrifuged for 5 324 min at 14000 rpm and the residue of each sample was re-325 suspended in 350 µL of water (distilled and sterile) and boiled 326 for 15 min. The obtained mixture was incubated for 5 min in 327 an ice bath and then again centrifugation at 14000 rpm for 5 328 min. The supernatant was transferred to a Eppendorf tube. The 329 330 DNA concentration of each sample was determined by a nanodrop spectrophotometer (Genova Nano, Jenway). The collected 331 332 DNA samples were stored at 0 °C for ERIC-PCR analysis.

Enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC) 333 **PCR analysis:** The ERIC-PCR analysis was carried out using 334 Primer ERIC1 (5'-ATG TAA GCT CCT GGG GAT TCA C-3') 335 and Primer ERIC2 (5-AAG TAA GTG ACT GGG GTG AGC 336 G-3'). A 25 µL of each PCR mixture was prepared and composed 337 of a 10 mM PCR buffer with a pH value of 8.3, a 3 mM of 338 MgCl₂, 0.40 mM of dNTP, 0.80 µM primer, 1.50U of Taq DNA 339 polymerase, 5% DMSO and constant quantity of DNA template 340 ranged from 30 µg to 35 µg. DNA amplification was carried 341 out using a Master cycler personal (Eppendorf, Germany) under 342 the conditions of initial denaturation 3 min at 94 °C, followed 343 by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 50 s, annealing at 344 50 °C for 1 min and extension at 72 °C for 1 min. The final 345 extension was performed at 72 °C for 5 min. The produced 346 PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis (1.5% agarose 347 348 gel).

Agarose gel electrophoresis method: The method involves 349 using agarose gel at 1.5%, the gel included a DNA marker of 350 100 bp electrophoresis was run using 1X TAE electrophoresis 351 working with a 50X buffer composed of a 242.0 g Tris base, 352 57.2 mL acetic acid and a 100 mL of 0.5 M EDTA, the buffer 353 pH = 8.0]. The run was performed for 75 min at 80 V. The gel 354 was then stained with ethidium bromide with a concentration 355 of 0.5 µg/mL water for about 10 min. The produced ERIC-356 PCR profile was visualized using a UV trans-illuminator, the 357 changes in ERIC-PCR banding pattern including variations 358 in band intensity and gain or loss of bands were reported [38-359 43]. 360

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The method of synthesizing diazoles and benzodiazepines 361 described herein is a one step process that involved condensation cyclization of curcumin with various hydrazines and 363 1,2-diamino compounds. 364

Scheme-I depicts the structures curcumin based diazepines 365 that were synthesized from reacting curcumin with 1,2-diamino 366 compound with nitrile groups. Scheme-II shows the synthesis 367 of curcumin-based diazepines fused with halogenated pyrazine 368

)



Scheme-II: Chemical structures and method of making curcumin-based diazepines fused with halogenated pyridine and pyrazine

26950



Scheme-III: Chemical structures and method of making curcumin-based pyrazoles

and pyridine rings. Finally, the synthesis of curcumin-based 369 pyrazoles was performed by reacting curcumin with haloge-370 371 nated and non-halogenated hydrazines as shown in Scheme-III. 372 The synthesis of seven-membered ring curcumin-based 373 heterocycles was performed in ethanol in presence of a catalytic amount of H₂SO₄, the reaction was refluxed for about 2 h. How-374 375 ever, the five-membered ring pyrazoles synthesis was carried 376 out by refluxing curcumin with various hydrazines in glacial 377 acetic acid, which acted as catalyst and solvent. The reaction 378 progress and product purity were monitored by thin layer 379 chromatography (TLC). The TLC analysis was performed on 380 silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (Aldrich, USA) on aluminum support using 381 hexane/ethyl acetate (8:2, v/v) eluting solvent system. The 382 spots were located by the exposure to the UV light at λ of 254 383 nm.

The seven-membered ring curcumin-based heterocycles required longer reflux time than the five-membered ring. The purification of the products was carried out by either column chromatography or crystallization using solvents mixture. The structures of the prepared curcumin-based derivatives were confirmed by IR, NMR and other analytical methods. The synthesis of curcumin based diazepine **8** involved a 390 two-step process (**Scheme-IV**). In the first step, compound **I8** 391 was synthesized from reacting curcumin with 1,2-phenylenediamine using condensation reaction. The second step involved 393 methylation of hydroxyl group of phenolic moiety in compound 394 **I8** with CH_3I after treatment with sodium hydroxide solution. 395 The synthesis of compound **I8** was designed to study the effect 396 of the hydroxyl group on antimicrobial activity. 397

Antibacterial activity: An *in vitro* minimum inhibition 398 399 concentration (MIC) was determined for the synthesized derivatives against four bacterial strains viz. S. aureus ATCC 6538P, 400 clinical MRSA, E. coli ATCC 25922 and K. pneumoniae ATCC 401 13883. The micro-dilution method was used in this study. The 402 results are summarized in Table-1. The antimicrobial activity 403 of curcumin against the four studied bacterial stains had previ-404 ously been determined and showed no activity [30]. On the 405 other hand, the synthesized curcumin-based derivatives 406 exhibited moderate to strong inhibition against the four tested 407 408 bacterial strains.

In present study, the efficacy against *S. aureus* ATCC 6538P 409 and *K. pneumonia* ATCC 13883 was higher than that against 410



Scheme-IV: Reaction sequence for the preparation of benzodiazepine C8

| TABLE-1 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|----------------|---------|--|--|--|
| MINIMUM INHIBITORY CONCENTRATION (MIC) | | | | | | | |
| VAL | UES IN µg/mL | OF THE PR | EPARED CURCUN | AIN- | | | |
| BA | SED DIAZEP | INES AND D | DIAZOLES AGAIN | ST | | | |
| | FOUR DIFFE | RENT BACT | TERIAL STRAINS | | | | |
| | MIC value (µg/mL) | | | | | | |
| Curcumin | S. aureus | MRSA | 17 . | E. coli | | | |
| derivative | ATCC | (clinical | K. pneumoniae | ATCC | | | |
| | 6538P | isolate) | ATCC 13883 | 25922 | | | |
| Curcumin | > 400 | > 400 | > 400 | >400 | | | |
| C1 | 12.5 | >400 | 50 | 200 | | | |
| C2 | 1.56 | >400 | | | | | |
| C3 | 1.56 | > 400 | 100 | 200 | | | |
| C4 | 50 | > 400 | > 400 | >400 | | | |
| C5 | 25 | > 400 | 200 | >400 | | | |
| C6 | 12.5 | > 400 | 200 | >400 | | | |
| C7 | 100 | > 400 | 200 | >400 | | | |
| I8 | 100 | >400 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| C8 | 25 | >400 | 25 | 200 | | | |

411 the strains clinical MRSA and E. coli ATCC 25922. Compounds 412 C1, C3, C4, C5-C8 showed MIC values were ranged from 12.4 to 200 µg/mL. Compound C2 showed a MIC value of 413 1.56 µg/mL and 12.4 µg/mL against S. aureus ATCC 6538P 414 and E. coli ATCC 25922, respectively. Similarly, the MIC 415 416 values for C3 were 1.56 µg/mL, 100 and 200 µg/mL against 417 S. aureus ATCC 6538P K. pneumoniae ATCC 13883 and E. coli ATCC 25922, respectively. The high antimicrobial activity 418 419 could be attributed due to the presence of four nitrogen heteroatoms in their aromatic rings compared to the other synthesized 420 421 curcumin based heterocycles containing only two or three nitrogen atoms [28]. The presence of nitrogen atoms increases 422 the interaction with the receptor sites. 423

424 In case of compounds C1, C6 and C7 that have compar-425 able structures, C1 showed higher activity against the tested 426 strains among the others with MIC values of 12.5, 50 and 200 427 µg/mL against S. aureus ATCC 6538P K. pneumoniae ATCC 428 13883 and E. coli ATCC 25922, respectively. The high activity of compound C1 can be attributed to the presence of three 429 430 nitrogen heteroatoms in its structure with no other substituent 431 on the pyridine ring, while C6 and C7 have a bromine atom at position 3 on the pyridine ring. Replacement of H-atom at 432 position 3 with bromine atom lowered the interaction with the 433 receptor site thus the activity decreased, the main cause for 434 435 that could be the steric hindrance [25-28].

436 The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of curcumin based heterocycles against the tested bacterial strains 437 438 were also determined. Compounds C1, C3 and C8 showed 439 bactericidal effect against S. aureus ATCC 6538P with MBC values that ranged from 100 µg/mL to 200 µg/mL, while comp-440 441 ounds C2 and C8 had a bactericidal effect against K. pneumonia 442 ATCC 13883 with MBC ranged from 12.5 µg/mL to 200 µg/ 443 mL. Compounds C2 showed the highest MBC effects against K. pneumonia ATCC 13883 (12.5 µg/mL). The obtained MIC 444 and MBC values (Table-2) indicate that seven-membered hetero-445 cycles are more active than five-membered compounds (C4 446 447 and C5). Among the five-membered heterocycle compounds, C5 showed a higher activity than compound C4. This could 448 be attributed due to the existence of pyrimidine ring [44-46] 449

| TABLE-2 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| MINIMUM BACTERIAL CONCENTRATION (MBC) | | | | | | | | | |
| VA | VALUES IN µg/mL AGAINST FOUR DIFFERENT | | | | | | | | |
| B | BACTERIAL STRAINS FOR THE PREPARED | | | | | | | | |
| CURCUMIN-BASED DIAZEPINES AND DIAZOLES | | | | | | | | | |
| MBC values (µg/mL) | | | | | | | | | |
| rcumin rivative | S. aureus ATCC | MRSA (clinical | K. pneumoniae | E. coli ATCC | | | | | |

| derivative | ATCC 6538P | (clinical isolate) | K. pneumoniae ATCC 13883 | ATCC 25922 |
|------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| C1 | 160 | - | - | - |
| C2 | - | - | 12.5 | - |
| C3 | - | - | - | - |
| C4 | - | - | - | - |
| C5 | - | - | - | - |
| C6 | - | - | - | - |
| C7 | 100 | - | 200 | - |
| C8 | - | - | - | - |
| I8 | 100 | - | _ | - |

as they contains the more functional groups, which causes the 450 strong interaction with various receptor sites. The interactions 451 include the strong forces H-bonding and dipole-dipole. 452

The MIC of ampicillin drug against *E. coli* ATCC 25922 453 strain was 1.56 µg/mL. When compounds **C1-C8** with MICs 454 of 200 µg/mL were combined with an ampicillin antibiotic at 455 the sub-MIC level (0.39 µg/mL). The MIC of four curcumin 456 based heterocyclics were decreased to less than 1.56 µg/mL. 457 The FIC index comparison between ampicillin and curcuminbased heterocycles demonstrated the effectiveness of combination of these two drugs (FIC index \leq 0.5). 460

The genotoxicity test was performed on derivative C3, it 461 showed a high activity against E. coli ATCC 25922 with a MIC 462 value of 200 μ g/mL. It was done using the ERIC-PCR profile 463 for DNA extracted from *E. coli*. The genotoxicity results of 464 treated and untreated E. coli with compound C3 at different 465 intervals are summarized in Fig. 2. The obtained ERIC-PCR 466 profile showed unchanged number of bands and band intensity. 467 The results indicate that no interaction between compound 468 C3 and the DNA of *E. coli*. So, it can be concluded that C3 is 469 non-genotoxic and non-mutagenic agent. 470



Fig. 2. Genotoxicity test conducted using ERIC-PCR of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 strain untreated and treated with different concentrations of compound C3 at different time intervals. Lanes L are 100-bp ladder; Lanes 1 treated with DMSO as negative control; lanes 1, 2 and 3 treated with 100, 50 and 25 µg/mL, respectively

471 Diazepines **I8** showed low efficiency, by blocking the 472 hydroxyl group on the benzene ring with a methyl group to 473 obtain **C8**, the efficacy enhanced and the MIC value against 474 *S. aureus* decreased from 100 to 25 μ g/mL and against *K.* 475 *pneumonia* it decreased from 200 to 25 μ g/mL. The compound 476 even showed some activity against *E. coli*.

477 Molecular docking studies: In this work, blind docking 478 was carried out on the full surface of the protein. Alternatively, 479 docking on predicted binding areas of a particular protein often 480 increases sampling competence and lowers the computing cost 481 of blind docking [47]. To simplify the docking of curcumin 482 derivatives the CB-Dock (http://cao.labshare.cn/cb-dock/): a 483 web server for cavity detection-guided protein-ligand blind 484 docking was used [48]. The server validates the input files 485 and transforms them to pdbqt format using OpenBabel and 486 MGL tools [38,39]. Following that CB-Dock predicts and 487 determines the centers and sizes of the top N (n = 5 by standard) 488 protein's cavities. AutoDock Vina was used to dock each center 489 and size, as well as the pdbqt files. The obtained results were 490 further visualized using Discovery studio 2021 free visualizer 491 for docking poses and 2D ligand-protein interactions.

The docking score with the lowest energy (high negative
value) indicates a higher binding affinity between the protein
and ligand (Table-3). The greater energy (low negative value)
indicates that the protein and ligand interact minimally.

| • | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------|------|--|--|--|
| TABLE-3 CURCUMIN BASED HETEROCYCLES- PROTEIN DOCKING AFFINITY | | | | | | |
| Docking score (kcal/mol) | | | | | | |
| Wolecule | 1HNJ 2OV5 | | 1AJ6 | | | |
| C1 | -9.1 | -8.9 | -9.2 | | | |
| C2 | -9.7 | -9.6 | -9.8 | | | |
| C3 | -9.1 | -8.5 | -8.6 | | | |
| C4 | -9.0 | -8.7 | -9.0 | | | |
| C5 | -8.9 | -8.5 | -8.9 | | | |
| C6 | -8.9 | -9.2 | -8.8 | | | |
| 18 | -9.0 | -8.5 | -9.6 | | | |
| C8 | -8.8 | -8.9 | -8.2 | | | |

To gain the molecular insights on the ligand-protein interaction, *S. aureus* the synthesized molecules were docked onto the structure of FabH (PDB 1HNJ) [40], *K. pneumonia* onto the KPC-2 structure (PDB: 2OV5) [38,49] and for molecular docking against *E. coli* DNA gyrase B (PDB: 1AJ6) [50] were carried out. The protein structures were obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (<u>http://www.pdb.org/pdb/home/home.do</u>). 502

The interaction of protein and ligands depend on the 503 hydrogen bonding and van der Waals interaction. Compound 504 C2 structure appears to be the most promising one from the 505 studied compounds as observed in Fig. 3, as this molecule possesses both H-donating and H-accepting group that efficiently 507 interact during the docking. 508

To be effective as a medicine, a powerful molecule must 509 reach its target site in the body in a bioactive state and stay near 510 the site long enough for the anticipated physiological activities 511 to happen. Drug development increasingly requires evaluation 512 of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) 513 properties at the initial stages of the discovery process. In this 514 situation, computer models are possible replacement for the 515 experimentation. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and 516 excretion (ADME) properties such as lipophilicity [log Po/w 517 (iLOGP)] [51], pharmacokinetics (gastrointestinal absorption) 518 [52], drug likeness (Lipinski) [52], bioavailability score [53], 519 medicinal chemistry (PAINS) [50], synthetic accessibility [53], 520 of the synthesized molecules were retrieved from the Swiss 521 522 ADME server [35].

PAINS presents a variety of substructural properties that 523 can help in the identification of substances that emerge as freq-524 uent hits (promiscuous compounds) in a variety of biochemical 525 high throughput screens. The compounds identified by such 526 substructural properties are not recognized by the conventional 527 reactive chemical identification filters. As seen in Table-4, 528 synthesis (synthetic accessibility) of drug-like compounds is 529 very important as it is required at several stages of the drug 530 development process. The evaluated compounds had mean 531 scores between 3.5 and 4.5, indicating their comparatively 532





Fig. 3. Curcumin based diazepine C2-S. aureus protein docking

| TABLE-4 SYNTHETIC ACCESSIBILITY OF CURCUMIN-BASED HETEROCYCLES AS DRUG-LIKE COMPOUNDS | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| ADME properties | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | I8 | C8 |
| Number H-bond acceptors | 6 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| Number H-bond donors | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Lipophilicity log Po/w (iLOGP) | 3.94 | 3.41 | 3.53 | 4.50 | 3.76 | 4.04 | 3.94 | 4.71 |
| Pharmaco kinetics GI absorption | High | Low | High | High | High | High | High | High |
| Drug likeness Lipinski Bioavailability score | Yes; 0 violation 0.55 | Yes; 0 violation 0.55 | Yes; 0 violation 0.55 | Yes; 0 violation 0.55 | Yes; 0 violation 0.55 | Yes; 1 violation: MW>500 | Yes; 1 violation: MW>500 | Yes; 0 violation |
| Medicinal chemistry PAINS Synthetic accessibility | 0 alert 4.46 | 0 alert 4.55 | 0 alert 4.55 | 0 alert 3.54 | 0 alert 3.74 | 0 alert 4.55 | 0 alert 4.66 | 0 alert 4.70 |

533 simple production [a score ranging from 1 (easy to produce)

534 to 10 (extremely difficult to make)]. Most of the compounds

535 (except **C6** and **I7**) do not contradict the Lipinski rule of drug

536 likeness and are expected to have a low (**C2**) to a high level of 537 passive human gastrointestinal absorption (other molecules).

best pussive numun gustionnestinur ussorption (outer

538 Conclusion

539 In this work, the curcumin-based diazepines and diazoles 540 were synthesized in one step process that involved reacting 541 curcumin with various commercially available 1,2-diamino 542 and hydrazine reagents. The condensation cyclization process 543 of making the target molecules involved nucleophilic addition, 544 cyclization and loss of water molecules. The structures of the 545 curcumin based diazepines and diazoles synthesized were 546 confirmed by various spectroscopic and analytical techniques. 547 The efficacy of all the synthesized derivatives was evaluated 548 against two Gram-positive and two Gram-negative microorg-549 anisms. MIC values for the tested compounds against S. aureus 550 ATCC 6538P and K. pneumonia ranged from 1.56 to 200 µg/ 551 mL. Compounds C1, C2, C3 and C8 showed effective 552 inhibition against E. coli at MIC value of 200 µg/mL, whereas 553 all compounds showed synergistic actions with the antibiotic 554 ampicillin. Investigation of its effects on E. coli, DNA revealed 555 that compound C3 was not genotoxic or mutagenic since it did 556 not attach to the DNA molecules. Based on the results of a mole-557 cular docking analysis, it appears that compound C2 has the 558 greatest potential as a potential future drug due to the presence 559 of H-donating and H-accepting groups, both of which engage favourably during the docking process. Curcumin based hetero-560 561 cycles reported in this work could be promising candidates 562 for the development of an antibacterial synergist that may work 563 in conjunction with current antibiotics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the University of AN-Najah, Nablus,
Palestine and Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine for
providing the laboratory and research facilities as well as support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

567 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests 568 regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- A.K. Mittal, R. Bhardwaj, P. Mishra and S.K. Rajput, *Open Biotechnol.* J., 14, 107 (2020);
- https://doi.org/10.2174/1874070702014010107
- D.C. Kaur and S.S. Chate, J. Glob. Infect. Dis., 7, 78 (2015); https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-777X.157245
- S. Sumrra, U. Habiba, W. Zafar, M. Imran and Z.H. Chohan, J. Coord. Chem., 73, 2838 (2020); https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2020.1839751
- W. Zafar, S.H. Sumrra and Z.H. Chohan, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 222, 113602 (2021);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113602
 S.H. Sumrra, W. Zafar, H. Javed, M. Zafar, M.Z. Hussain, M. Imran and M.A. Nadeem, *Biometals*, 34, 1329 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1007/s10534-021-00345-6
- S. H. Sumrra, M. Anees, A. Asif, M. N. Zafar, K. Mahmood, M. F. Nazar, M. Khalid, M. A. Nadeem and M.U. Khan, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.*, 34, 335 (2020); <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/bcse.v34i2.11</u>
- S.H. Sumrra, M. Hanif and Z.H. Chohan, *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.*, 30, 800 (2015);

https://doi.org/10.3109/14756366.2014.976565

- A.M. Jaguezeski, C.F. Souza, G. Perin, J.H. Reis, T.M.A. Gomes, M.D. Baldissera, R.A. Vaucher, C.M. de Andrade, L.M. Stefani, S.S. Gundel, A.F. Ourique and A.S. Da Silva, *Microb. Pathog.*, **134**, 103564 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103564
- T. Sato, M. Hotsumi, K. Makabe and H. Konno, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* Lett., 28, 3520 (2018); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.10.002
- V. Lorenz, P. Liebing, M. Suta, F. Engelhardt, L. Hilfert, S. Busse, S. Wang, C. Wickleder and F.T. Edelmann, *J. Lumin.*, **211**, 243 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2019.02.058
- F.C. Rodrigues, N.V. Anil Kumar and G. Thakur, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 177, 76 (2019);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.04.058
- 12. G.M. Adwan and G.I. Omar, *Microbiol. Res. J. Int.*, **31**, 48 (2021); https://doi.org/10.9734/MRJI/2021/v31i130297
- S.A. Noureddin, R.M. El-Shishtawy and K.O. Al-Footy, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **182**, 111631 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111631
- P.Y. Khor, M.F.F. Mohd Aluwi, K. Rullah and K.W. Lam, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **183**, 111704 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111704
- R. Typek, A.L. Dawidowicz, K. Bernacik and M. Stankeviè, *Food Chem.*, 286, 136 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.01.194
- J. Wang, X. Zhang, J. Yan, W. Li, Q. Jiang, X. Wang, D. Zhao and M. Cheng, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **29**, 126683 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.126683
- M.R. Agel, E. Baghdan, S.R. Pinnapireddy, J. Lehmann, J. Schäfer and U. Bakowsky, *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*, **178**, 460 (2019); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2019.03.027</u>

- N. Khaldi-Khellafi, M. Makhloufi-Chebli, D. Oukacha-Hikem, S.T. Bouaziz, K.O. Lamara, T. Idir, A. Benazzouz-Touami and F. Dumas, J. Mol. Struct., 1181, 261 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2018.12.104
- V. Censi, A.B. Caballero, M. Pérez-Hernández, V. Soto-Cerrato, L. Korrodi-Gregório, R. Pérez-Tomás, M.M. Dell'Anna, P. Mastrorilli and P. Gamez, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **198**, 110749 (2019); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2019.110749</u>
- J.Q. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Wang, W.J. Tang, J.P. Shi and X.H. Liu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **156**, 493 (2018); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.07.013
- 21. Q. Yang, M. Noviana, Y. Zhao, D. Chen and X. Wang, *J. Biomech.*, **95**, 109301 (2019);
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.045
 22. W.H. Lee, C.Y. Loo, M. Bebawy, F. Luk, R.S. Mason and R. Rohanizadeh, *Curr. Neuropharmacol.*, **11**, 338 (2013); https://doi.org/10.2174/1570159X11311040002
- I. Zhang, P. Wang, Z. Yang, F. Du, Z. Li, C. Wu, A. Fang, X. Xu and G. Zhou, *Food Hydrocoll.*, **101**, 105455 (2020); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105455
- M. Cozzolino, P. Delcanale, C. Montali, M. Tognolini, C. Giorgio, M. Corrado, L. Cavanna, P. Bianchini, A. Diaspro, S. Abbruzzetti and C. Viappiani, *J. Life Sci.*, 233, 116710 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2019.116710
- 25. O.A. Hamed, N. Mehdawi, A.A. Taha, E.H. Hamed, M.A. Al-Nuri and A.S. Hussein, *Iran. J. Pharm. Res.*, **12**, 47 (2013).
- C.I. Nieto, A. Andrade, D. Sanz, R.M. Claramunt, M.C. Torralba, M.R. Torres, I. Alkorta and J. Elguero, *ChemistrySelect*, 2, 3732 (2017); <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201700405</u>
- A. Choudhary, L. Naughton, I. Montánchez, A. Dobson and D. Rai, Mar. Drugs, 15, 272 (2017);
- https://doi.org/10.3390/md15090272 28. D. Hadjipavlou-Litina, *J. Med. Chem.*, **15**, 1 (2019); https://doi.org/10.2174/157340641501181122103125
- S. Khajeh Dangolani, F. Panahi and A. Khalafi-Nezhad, *Mol. Divers.*, 25, 2123 (2021);
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-020-10104-3
 30. L. Vecchi Brumatti, A. Marcuzzi, P. Tricarico, V. Zanin, M. Girardelli and A. Bianco, *Molecules*, 19, 21127 (2016);
- https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules191221127 31. J. Chen, J. Qin, X. Zhong, S. Chen, S. Su and W. Liu, *Molecules*, 23, 1179 (2018);
- https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23051179
- W. Wang, T. Chen, H. Xu, B. Ren, X. Cheng, R. Qi, H. Liu, Y. Wang, L. Yan, S. Chen, Q. Yang and C. Chen, *Molecules*, 23, 1578 (2018); <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071578</u>
- P. Ertl and A. Schuffenhauer, J. Cheminform., 1, 8 (2009); https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-1-8
- J.M. Andrews, J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 53, 713 (2006); https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh113
- A. Daina, O. Michielin and V. Zoete, *Sci. Rep.*, 7, 42717 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42717

- S. Laishram, A.K. Pragasam, Y.D. Bakthavatchalam and B. Veeraraghavan, *Indian J. Med. Microbiol.*, 35, 445 (2017); <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_17_189</u>
- G. Adwan, K. Adwan, N. Jarrar, Y. Salama and A. Barakat, *Microbiol. Res. J. Int.*, **32**, 139 (2013);
- https://doi.org/10.9734/BMRJ/2013/2913 38. A. Drefahl, *J. Cheminform.*, **3**, 1 (2011); https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-3-1
- G.M. Morris, R. Huey, W. Lindstrom, M.F. Sanner, R.K. Belew, D.S. Goodsell and A.J. Olson, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **30**, 2785 (2009); <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21256</u>
- 40. B. Jia, Y.M. Ma, B. Liu, P. Chen, Y. Hu and R. Zhang, *Front Chem.*, 7, 837 (2019);
- https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00837
 41. K. Adwan, N. Jarrar, A. Abu-Hijleh, G. Adwan, E. Awwad and Y. Salameh, *Am. J. Infect. Control*, 41, 195 (2013);
- <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.03.040</u>
 42. A. Abu-Hijleh, G. Adwan and W. Abdat, J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol., 19,
- A. Add-Hijlen, G. Adwan and W. Addat, J. Adv. Biol. Biolechnol., 19, 1 (2018); https://doi.org/10.9734/JABB/2018/43882
- F.A. Atienzar, P. Venier, A.N. Jha and M.H. Depledge, *Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen.*, **521**, 151 (2002); https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(02)00216-4
- M. Qneibi, O. Hamed, A.-R. Natsheh, O. Fares, N. Jaradat, N. Emwas, Q. Abu Hasan, R. Al-Kerm and R. Al-Kerm, *PLoS One*, 14, e0221132 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221132
- M. Qneibi, O. Harned, O. Fares, N. Jaradat, A. Natsheh, Q. Abu-Hasan, N. Emwas, R. Al-Kerm and R. Al-Kerm, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, **136**, 104951 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2019.06.005
- M. Qneibi, O. Hamed, N. Jaradat, M. Hawash, R. Al-Kerm, R. Al-Kerm, S. Sobuh and S. Tarazi, *Bioorg. Chem.*, **116**, 105406 (2021); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2021.105406</u>
- D. Ghersi and R. Sanchez, *Proteins*, 74, 417 (2009); <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.22154</u>
- S. Kaur, N.H. Modi, D. Panda and N. Roy, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 45, 4209 (2010); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2010.06.015
- W. Ke, C.R. Bethel, J.M. Thomson, R.A. Bonomo and F. van den Akker, Biochemistry, 46, 5732 (2007); https://doi.org/10.1021/bi700300u
- 50. H.E. Hashem, A.E. Amr, E.S. Nossier, E.A. Elsayed and E.M. Azmy, *Molecules*, 25, 2766 (2020); https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25122766
- A. Daina, O. Michielin and V. Zoete, J. Chem. Inf. Model., 54, 3284 (2014); https://doi.org/10.1021/ci500467k
- 52. A. Daina and V.A. Zoete, *ChemMedChem*, **11**, 1117 (2016); https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201600182
- C.A. Lipinski, F. Lombardo, B.W. Dominy and P.J. Feeney, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*, 46, 3 (2001); https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(00)00129-0