

Knowledge and Perception of the Employees Towards COVID-19 Vaccination at Palestinian Universities

SAGE Open Nursing
Volume 9: 1–6
© The Author(s) 2023
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/23779608231176880
journals.sagepub.com/home/son



Mustafa Mohammad Shouli, PhD¹ , Ahmad Ayed, PhD² ,
Khaila Mohammad Shouli, MSN¹ and Khalid Alekel, MSN¹

Abstract

Introduction: Major efforts are being made to control the spread and impact of the coronavirus pandemic using vaccines. However, willingness to be vaccinated depends largely on factors beyond the availability of vaccines.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the knowledge and perception of university employees towards the COVID-19 vaccination.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted between February and June 2021. A total of 310 university employees from six Palestinian universities participated in the study. A self-reported questionnaire was used for data collection and included the following: personal and medical characteristics of the university employees' knowledge and perception regarding receiving the COVID-19 vaccination.

Results: Three hundred and ten out of 336 questionnaires (92.3% response rate) were completed and returned by the participants. The results revealed that 41.9% of university employees had good knowledge of the COVID-19 vaccination. On the other hand, 51.9% of them had a positive perception of the COVID-19 vaccination. Also, there is a significant difference between the level of knowledge and perception of the COVID-19 vaccine ($p < .05$).

Conclusion: Less than half of the university employees had good knowledge, and half of them had a positive attitude towards the COVID-19 vaccination. Also, it has been determined that there is a relationship between the level of knowledge and perception of the COVID-19 vaccine. The study recommended that employees should be involved in educational campaigns to increase their knowledge of the importance of vaccines in COVID-19 prevention.

Keywords

perception, knowledge, COVID-19, vaccination, universities

Received 2 December 2022; revised 25 April 2023; accepted 29 April 2023

Introduction

Vaccination against COVID-19 is a key technique for reversing the global COVID-19 epidemic (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020). The COVID-19 vaccines have shown exceptional efficacy in clinical studies and in real-world data. Vaccination is now acknowledged as the most successful and cost-effective public health strategy. It has also significantly improved global health by lowering the incidence and mortality rates of a wide range of infectious illnesses (Dubé et al., 2013; Rappuoli et al., 2011; Shouli et al., 2022). Vaccination against COVID-19 is therefore critical for establishing herd immunity (DeRoo et al., 2020).

Most experts believe that the COVID-19 pandemic cannot be controlled without widespread vaccine acceptance

(Skjefte et al., 2021), and that vaccination can promptly and efficiently reduce the epidemic effect (Ramasamy et al., 2020; Walsh et al., 2020). There was a significant disparity in vaccination programs in different countries because some people were hesitant about receiving the vaccine and others refused it (Dula et al., 2021). Vaccination hesitation is a developing concern that refers to any delay in taking or

¹Community Health Nursing Department, Nablus University for Vocational & Technical Education, Nablus, Palestine

²Faculty of Nursing, Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine

Corresponding Author:

Ahmad Ayed, Faculty of Nursing, Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine.
Email: ahmad.juma@aaup.edu



refusing a vaccine despite the availability of vaccination services (Larson et al., 2014).

Literature Review

Vaccine hesitancy has been cited by the WHO as a serious hazard to world health. Inconvenience in obtaining vaccines, complacency, or a lack of confidence are all key contributors to vaccine hesitancy (Portfolio, 2021). Furthermore, depending on the context, time, place, and type of vaccination, several immeasurable variables may complicate the situation (Sarmini, 2021).

The acceptance rate of the COVID-19 vaccination varies from country to country and between different times, with Arab countries showing the highest hesitancy rates compared with other parts of the world (Cascini et al., 2021). Vaccine acceptance rates are less than 55% in Russia and up to 90% in China (Lazarus et al., 2021). In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 50.5% of health workers (Qattan et al., 2021) and 48% of the general public (Alfageeh et al., 2021) indicated a desire to get the COVID-19 vaccination in December 2020.

The global adoption of the COVID-19 vaccination is dependent on various common elements linked to psychological and sociological issues as well as the vaccine itself. People would connect with informed and effective messaging that clarifies the safety, side effects, and efficacy of anticipated COVID-19 vaccines, fostering vaccine confidence and encouraging people to be vaccinated willingly (Roy et al., 2022).

However, because of increased public doubt and confusion about vaccination efficacy and safety, it is unclear if vaccine availability will lead to widespread adoption. There is presently a dearth of information about people's acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines and the elements that may impact their acceptance (Reiter et al., 2020). This gap calls for the need to investigate the knowledge and perception of COVID-19 vaccines among the population. As a result, the purpose of this study was to investigate the knowledge and perception of COVID-19 vaccines among university employees in the West Bank, Palestine.

Methods

Design

A cross-sectional study was conducted on employees who work at six universities in Palestine: Nablus University for Vocational & Technical Education, An-Najah National University, Modern University College, Birzeit University, Hebron University, Arab American University, and Al-Quds Open University.

Research Question

What are the knowledge and perception levels of COVID-19 vaccines among university employees in the West Bank, Palestine?

Sample

The total number of employees who work at targeted universities is approximately 1,500. The sample size was calculated using the Raosoft program with a confidence level of 95%, a margin of error of 5%, and a response rate of 50%. A total sample of 306 participants was needed to conduct this study. Thirty participants were added to overcome participants who had incomplete the questionnaires or dropped out. As a result, the final sample size was 336 participants. The sample was selected proportionally according to the number of employees at these universities.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

All employees who work full time at the targeted universities in Palestine with a minimum of one year of experience and who have been vaccinated with the COVID-19 vaccine since the vaccine's approval in Palestine were included. Those who are illiterate or have refused to participate in the study are excluded.

Instrument of the Study

The self-reported questionnaire was developed by the researchers after critically reviewing the literature, including:

1. University employees' demographic characteristics, such as age, gender, educational level, place of residence, monthly family income, and BMI. Underweight = <18.5; normal weight = 18.5–24.9; overweight = 25–29.9; obesity class 1 = 30–34.9; obesity class 2 = 35–39.9; and extreme obesity class 3 = >40.
2. Knowledge of the vaccine against COVID-19: It consists of seven questions about the COVID-19 vaccine's action, the goal of COVID-19 vaccination, the various types of COVID-19 vaccination, symptoms of post-vaccination exposure, vaccine safety, vaccine safety in pregnancy and lactation, and the vaccine's effect on fertility. Knowledge was assessed by giving 1 to correct answers and 0 to wrong answers. Finally, all knowledge scores were computed, and those study participants who responded above the mean score were considered to have good knowledge, whereas those below the mean value were labeled as having poor knowledge.
3. Perception of the participants regarding receiving the COVID-19 vaccination. It was assessed by 10 items, and their responses were evaluated on a 5-point Likert scale. A score of 5 was given for strongly agree, 4 for agree, 3 for being neutral, 2 for disagree, and 1 for strongly disagree. Then, after each attitude score was added together to obtain a total attitude score, the mean value was calculated. Those students who scored above the mean value were considered to have a positive attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination, whereas those

who scored below the mean value were labeled as having a negative attitude.

Validity and Reliability

To test the questionnaire's validity, it was sent to five experts in the field of community health nursing and medical specialists. The modifications were implemented in response to the feedback. The Kuder-Richardson coefficient of the knowledge part and the Cronbach's alpha of the perception part were 0.89 and 0.85, respectively. The Kuder-Richardson coefficient was used for evaluating the internal consistency of the knowledge part because the items in this part were scored correct or incorrect.

Data Collection

After obtaining permission to conduct the study from the targeted universities, the researchers visited the universities and met with the heads of human resources departments. He explained to them the objectives of the study and asked them to prepare a list of the names of the employees to meet them. Then, the researcher explained the objectives of the study to the employees. The employee who agreed to participate was given informed consent to participate and then completed the questionnaire. The participants completed the paper-format questionnaires in the Arabic version. The study was conducted in the middle of the COVID-19 pandemic. The participants used masks to maintain safety standards when they completed the questionnaires.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the targeted universities. A consent form was assigned to every participant prior to the study and participation was voluntary. It was explained that all data will be kept confidential and will be used for study purposes only. Moreover, a clear explanation was given to each participant about the study objectives and tool. Enough time was given for questions.

Pilot Study

The piloting was carried out with 31 participants. The participants indicated that they had no trouble interpreting or clarifying the contents of the instruments. The pilot study found that the average time taken to complete the questionnaire was 10–20 min. The pilot study participants were excluded from the actual study.

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23. The mean,

standard deviation, frequency, and percentages were calculated. In addition, a chi-square was utilized to examine the differences between study variables. The study findings were considered statistically significant at a p -value $\leq .05$.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Three hundred and ten out of 336 questionnaires (92.3% response rate) were completed and returned by the participants. The results revealed that less than half of the studied employees (41.3%) were between 30 and <40 years old, with \pm SD 36.6 ± 7 . Males made up 79.4% of the population, with 35.5% having a postgraduate degree. More than half (54.8%) of the studied employees lived in the city. Also, 43% of the studied employees' monthly income was not enough. Also, the majority (86.5%) of studied employees were recorded as having a normal weight, as seen in Table 1.

Research Question Results

The analysis revealed that more than one-third of the participants, 41.9%, had poor knowledge regarding the COVID-19 vaccine. According to the analysis of the knowledge questions, most of the participants (75.8%) had poor knowledge

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Participants ($N = 310$).

Demographic characteristics	$M(SD)$	n (%)
Age	36.6 ± 7	
	< 20	26 (8.4)
	20 < 30	55 (17.7)
	30 \leq 40	128 (41.3)
	>40	101 (32.6)
Gender	Male	246 (79.4)
	Female	64 (20.6)
Education level	Basic Education	29 (9.4)
	University Education	89 (28.7)
	Post-Graduate Education	110 (35.5)
	Other	82 (26.4)
Place of residence	City	170 (54.8)
	Village	115 (37.1)
	Camp	25 (8.1)
Monthly family income	Sufficient	129 (41.6)
	Not enough	133 (43.0)
	Enough and safe	48 (15.4)
Body mass index	<18.5 percentile (underweight)	12 (3.9)
	18.5–25 percentile (normal)	268 (86.5)
	25–30 percentile (overweight)	30 (9.6)
	30–35 percentile (obese)	0 (0.0)

Table 2. Knowledge of University Employees in Palestinian Universities Regarding the COVID-19 Vaccine (N = 310).

Item	Knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine	
	Good n(%)	Poor n(%)
Action of COVID-19 vaccine	121 (39.0)	189 (61.0)
Aim of COVID-19 vaccination	130 (41.9)	180 (58.1)
Type of COVID-19 vaccination	142 (45.8)	168 (54.2)
Symptoms exposure post vaccination	134 (43.2)	176 (56.8)
Safety of vaccine	116 (37.4)	194 (62.6)
Safety of vaccine as regard pregnancy and lactating mother	75 (24.2)	235 (75.8)
Effect of vaccine on fertility	110 (35.5)	200 (64.5)
Total knowledge about COVID-19	130 (41.9)	180 (58.1)

about the safety of vaccines regarding pregnancy and lactating mothers. Also, 64.5% of them had poor knowledge about the effect of vaccines on fertility. In addition, 62.6% of them had poor knowledge regarding the safety of vaccines, as seen in Table 2.

Regarding the perception of the participants towards the COVID-19 vaccination, the analysis revealed that 51.9% of the participants had a positive perception. Also, the items' analysis of perception revealed that most of the participants (91.6%) had a positive perception regarding the item "Received vaccination because of the requirement of work," followed by 82.9% regarding the item "Complications of COVID-19 are very serious," and then 70.6% for the item "Feel very sick after getting COVID-19," as seen in Table 3.

Finally, in Table 4, it is shown that there is a significant difference between the level of knowledge and perception level of the COVID-19 vaccine ($p < .05$).

Discussion

The current study revealed that less than half of the participants (41.9%) have good knowledge of the COVID-19 vaccination. This finding is slightly higher than studies conducted in China (39.3%) (Hong et al., 2022) and Ethiopia (40.8%) (Mesesle, 2021). The possible reason for the inconsistency might be differences in the study population; this study was conducted on university employees, whereas studies in China and Ethiopia were conducted on cancer patients and the general population, respectively. University employees will have better access to opportunities to get information about the COVID-19 vaccine than the general population. Also, this result is higher than that of Gagneux-Brunon et al. (2021) in a study entitled "Intention to Get Vaccinations against COVID-19" in French, who pointed out that the majority of studied participants have poor knowledge about COVID-19 and take it to avoid transmitting COVID-19 to their families and protect themselves

Table 3. Distribution of the Perception of University Employees Receiving the COVID-19 Vaccine (N = 310).

Item	Positive n(%)	Negative n(%)
Chance of getting COVID-19 in the future is very high	194 (62.6)	116 (37.4)
Currently, getting COVID-19 is a strong possibility	132 (42.6)	178 (57.4)
Vaccination will decrease chances of getting COVID-19	205 (66.1)	105 (33.9)
Complications of COVID-19 is very serious	257 (82.9)	53 (17.1)
Feel very sick after getting COVID-19	219 (70.6)	91 (29.4)
The efficacy of the vaccination available	115 (37.1)	195 (62.9)
Side effects of the vaccination available	186 (60.0)	124 (40.0)
Received vaccine after receiving complete information	138 (44.5)	172 (55.5)
Received vaccination after a large number of people take it	193 (62.3)	117 (37.7)
Received vaccination because of the requirement of work	284 (91.6)	26 (8.4)
Total perception of receiving COVID-19 vaccine	161 (51.9)	149 (48.1)

Table 4. Difference Between the Level of Knowledge and Perception Towards the COVID-19 Vaccine (N = 310).

Knowledge level	Perception level		χ^2	p-value
	Positive	Negative		
Good	115	15	119.660	.001
Poor	46	134		

from being extremely vulnerable to COVID-19. Another study by Maraqa et al. (2021) reported that inadequate knowledge of the COVID-19 vaccine is due to its long-term and severe side-effect concerns, fear of the vaccine causing disease, and confusion about efficacy.

However, this finding was lower than a study conducted in Ethiopia (62.5%) (Adane et al., 2022). The possible reason for the discrepancy could be that the study in Ethiopia was conducted by health professionals. Evidence showed that health professionals had better awareness and attitudes towards COVID-19 than non-health professionals (Ekpenyong et al., 2021).

More surprisingly in this study, was that the respondents' knowledge of the vaccine's safety was very low. This was in agreement with Anorue et al. (2021), who concluded similar results. However, this finding was in agreement with Rahman et al. (2022) results, who found that 54.34% of their study sample agreed that the COVID-19 vaccine is safe.

Regarding perception, about half of the participants have a positive attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination, which was in line with studies conducted in India in a community-based

survey (50%) Danabal et al., 2021) and in Ethiopia among healthcare workers (50%) (Hajure et al., 2021).

However, this finding was higher as compared to studies done in Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia (24.2%) (Mesesle, 2021), and France (25%) (Guillon & Kergall, 2021). The possible explanation for the observed variation might be the difference in study population and study time.

On the contrary, this finding was lower than studies conducted in the United States (68%) (Ciardi et al., 2021), Pakistan (66.8%) (Tahir et al., 2021), and Jordan (61%) (El-Elimat et al., 2021). In the Jordan study, more than half (53.8%) of the study participants were health professionals who had deep knowledge about vaccines and a better attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination compared to other populations (Alle & Oumer, 2021).

Finally, it was discovered that there is a relationship between the level of knowledge and the perception of the COVID-19 vaccine. This means that people with a high level of knowledge about the vaccine are more likely to have a positive perception of it than those with a low level of knowledge about it. Similarly, Aguilar Chávez et al. (2021) concluded in their study that there is a significant relationship between the level of knowledge and attitudes towards the vaccine against COVID-19.

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

The study utilized a self-reported questionnaire. Another limitation was that it only applied to university employees such a view might not represent the view of the general Palestinian population. The study did not account for psychological factors and their influence on vaccine acceptance. Nevertheless, the current study assessed the relationship between participants' knowledge and perception towards the COVID-19 vaccine, which may help guide future public health efforts that aim to increase the uptake of the COVID-19 vaccine.

Implications for Practice

The result of the present study highlights the importance of assessing the knowledge and perception of the employees towards COVID-19 vaccination at Palestinian universities. The findings of this study recommended that employees should be involved in educational campaigns to increase their knowledge of the importance of vaccines in COVID-19 prevention.

Conclusion

In this study, less than half of the university employees had good knowledge, and half of them had a positive attitude towards the COVID-19 vaccination. Also, the study concluded that knowledge of the respondents' percentage regarding the safety of the vaccine was very low. Finally, it has been determined that there is a relationship between the level of knowledge and attitudes toward the COVID-19

vaccine. Thus, health education programs and mass media coverage should be strengthened. Moreover, intervention strategies to enhance knowledge and attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination are needed.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their thanks to the university employees who participated in the study.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Ahmad Ayed  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2164-8183>
Mustafa Mohammad Shouli  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0029-3395>

References

- Adane, M., Ademas, A., & Kloos, H. (2022). Knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of COVID-19 vaccine and refusal to receive COVID-19 vaccine among healthcare workers in northeastern Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health*, 22(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-12362-8>
- Aguilar Chávez, P. V., Becerra Julca, A. E., Valverde Rondo, M. E., Jesús Ramírez, G. D., & Ñique Miranda, M. I. (2021). Knowledge and attitudes towards the covid-19 vaccine. *Revista de la Facultad de Medicina Humana*, 22(2), 5. <https://doi.org/10.25176/RFMH.v22i2.4343>
- Alfageeh, E. I., Alshareef, N., Angawi, K., Alhazmi, F., & Chirwa, G. C. (2021). Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among the Saudi population. *Vaccines*, 9(3), 226. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9030226>
- Alle, Y. F., & Oumer, K. E. (2021). Attitude and associated factors of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among health professionals in Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, North Central Ethiopia; 2021: Cross-sectional study. *Virusdisease*, 32(2), 272–278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13337-021-00708-0>
- Anorue, L. I., Ugwu, A. C., Ugboaja, S. U., Nwabunze, U. O., Ugwulor-Onyinyechi, C. C., & Njoku, C. (2021). Communicating COVID-19 vaccine safety: Knowledge and attitude among residents of south east, Nigeria. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 14, 3785–3794. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S329183>
- Cascini, F., Pantovic, A., Al-Ajlouni, Y., Failla, G., & Ricciardi, W. (2021). Attitudes, acceptance and hesitancy among the general population worldwide to receive the COVID-19 vaccines and their contributing factors: A systematic review. *EClinicalMedicine*, 40, 101113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101113>
- Ciardi, F., Menon, V., Jensen, J. L., Shariff, M. A., Pillai, A., Venugopal, U., & Poole, B. D. (2021). Knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of COVID-19 vaccination among healthcare workers of an inner-city hospital in New York. *Vaccines*, 9(5), 516. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9050516>

- Danabal, K. G. M., Magesh, S. S., Saravanan, S., & Gopichandran, V. (2021). Attitude towards COVID 19 vaccines and vaccine hesitancy in urban and rural communities in Tamil Nadu, India—a community based survey. *BMC Health Services Research*, *21*(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05996-8>
- DeRoos, S. S., Pudalov, N. J., & Fu, L. Y. (2020). Planning for a COVID-19 vaccination program. *JAMA*, *323*(24), 2458–2459. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.8711>
- Dubé, E., Laberge, C., Guay, M., Bramadat, P., Roy, R., & Bettinger, J. A. (2013). Vaccine hesitancy: An overview. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, *9*(8), 1763–1773. <https://doi.org/10.4161/hv.24657>
- Dula, J., Mulhanga, A., Nhanombe, A., Cumbi, L., Júnior, A., Gwatsvaira, J., & Colebunders, R. (2021). COVID-19 vaccine acceptability and its determinants in Mozambique: An online survey. *Vaccines*, *9*(8), 828. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9080828>
- Ekpenyong, B. N., Osuagwu, U. L., Miner, C. A., Oveneri-Ogbomo, G. O., Abu, E. K., Goson, P. C., & Agho, K. E. (2021). Knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of COVID-19 among healthcare and non-healthcare workers in Sub-Saharan Africa: A web-based survey. *Health Security*, *19*(4), 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hs.2020.0208>
- El-Elimat, T., AbuAlSamen, M. M., Almomani, B. A., Al-Sawalha, N. A., & Alali, F. Q. (2021). Acceptance and attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccines: A cross-sectional study from Jordan. *Plos One*, *16*(4), e0250555. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250555>
- Gagneux-Brunon, A., Detoc, M., Bruel, S., Tardy, B., Rozaire, O., Frappe, P., & Botelho-Nevers, E. (2021). Intention to get vaccinations against COVID-19 in French healthcare workers during the first pandemic wave: A cross-sectional survey. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, *108*, 168–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.020>
- Guillon, M., & Kergall, P. (2021). Factors associated with COVID-19 vaccination intentions and attitudes in France. *Public Health*, *198*, 200–207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.07.035>
- Hajure, M., Tariku, M., Bekele, F., Abdu, Z., Dule, A., Mohammedhussein, M., & Tsegaye, T. (2021). Attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination among healthcare workers: A systematic review. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, *14*, 3883–3897. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S332792>
- Hong, J., Xu, X. W., Yang, J., Zheng, J., Dai, S. M., Zhou, J., & Ling, C. Q. (2022). Knowledge about, attitude and acceptance towards, and predictors of intention to receive the COVID-19 vaccine among cancer patients in Eastern China: A cross-sectional survey. *Journal of Integrative Medicine*, *20*(1), 34–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joim.2021.10.004>
- Larson, H. J., Jarrett, C., Eckersberger, E., Smith, D. M., & Paterson, P. (2014). Understanding vaccine hesitancy around vaccines and vaccination from a global perspective: A systematic review of published literature, 2007–2012. *Vaccine*, *32*(19), 2150–2159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.01.081>
- Lazarus, J. V., Ratzan, S. C., Palayew, A., Gostin, L. O., Larson, H. J., Rabin, K., & El-Mohandes, A. (2021). A global survey of potential acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. *Nature Medicine*, *27*(2), 225–228. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1124-9>
- Maraqqa, B., Nazzal, Z., Rabi, R., Sarhan, N., Al-Shakhra, K., & Al-Kaila, M. (2021). COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among health care workers in Palestine: A call for action. *Preventive Medicine*, *149*, 106618. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106618>
- Mesesle, M. (2021). Awareness and attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination and associated factors in Ethiopia: Cross-sectional study. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, *14*, 2193–2199. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S316461>
- Portfolio, C. R. D. (2021). COVAX Announces additional deals to access promising COVID-19 vaccine candidates: Plans global rollout starting Q1 2021. *Saudi Medical Journal*, *42*(1), 119–121.
- Qattan, A. M., Alshareef, N., Alsharqi, O., Al Rahahleh, N., Chirwa, G. C., & Al-Hanawi, M. K. (2021). Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among healthcare workers in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Frontiers in Medicine*, *8*, 644300. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.644300>
- Rahman, M. M., Chisty, M. A., Alam, M. A., Sakib, M. S., Quader, M. A., Shobuj, I. A., & Rahman, F. (2022). Knowledge, attitude, and hesitancy towards COVID-19 vaccine among university students of Bangladesh. *Plos One*, *17*(6), e0270684. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270684>
- Ramasamy, M. N., Minassian, A. M., Ewer, K. J., Flaxman, A. L., Folegatti, P. M., Owens, D. R., & Demissie, T. (2020). Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): A single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. *The Lancet*, *396*(10267), 1979–1993. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32466-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32466-1)
- Rappuoli, R., Mandl, C. W., Black, S., & De Gregorio, E. (2011). Vaccines for the twenty-first century society. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, *11*(12), 865–872. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3085>
- Reiter, P. L., Pennell, M. L., & Katz, M. L. (2020). Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among adults in the United States: How many people would get vaccinated? *Vaccine*, *38*(42), 6500–6507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.08.043>
- Roy, D. N., Biswas, M., Islam, E., & Azam, M. S. (2022). Potential factors influencing COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and hesitancy: A systematic review. *Plos One*, *17*(3), e0265496. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0265496>
- Sarmini, D. (2021). Mental health and its relationship with the psychological resilience of university students during Corona virus (COVID-19) pandemic in northwestern Syria. *Journal of the Arab American University*, *7*(2), 123–148.
- Shouli, M. M., Ayed, A., Shouli, K. M., Issa, B. M., & Khraiweh, I. M. (2022). Health consequences of university employees post-COVID-19 vaccination at Palestinian universities. *SAGE Open Nursing*, *8*, 23779608221144935. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23779608221144935>
- Skjefte, M., Ngirbabul, M., Akeju, O., Escudero, D., Hernandez-Diaz, S., Wyszynski, D. F., & Wu, J. W. (2021). COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among pregnant women and mothers of young children: Results of a survey in 16 countries. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, *36*(2), 197–211. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-021-00728-6>
- Tahir, M. J., Saqlain, M., Tariq, W., Waheed, S., Tan, S. H., Nasir, S. I., & Ahmed, A. (2021). Population preferences and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination: A cross-sectional study from Pakistan. *BMC Public Health*, *21*(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11814-5>
- Walsh, E. E., Frenck, R. W., Falsey, A. R., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., & Gruber, W. C. (2020). Safety and immunogenicity of two RNA-based Covid-19 vaccine candidates. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *383*(25), 2439–2450. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2027906>
- WHO (2020). WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19—21 August 2020.